



May 5, 2023

Memorandum for Record

FROM: The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Office

SUBJECT: 2021-2022 Closed Investigation List

The term "Redacted or Redacted Narrative" appears on the 2021 and 2022 list of closed investigations, which is not our usual practice. For the sake of transparency, and so that we do not alter the original data entry of the investigative record, HUD OIG has provided the original entries. We are diligently working to correct this practice to ensure the most transparent product is provided to our stakeholders.

HUD OIG FOIA Team

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
8/26/2021	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) HUD PIH Financial Management Center is reporting an unknown subject for wire	Administratively closed.
	fraud and attempting to steal funds from HUD's Moderate Rehabilitation Program. It's alleged that the subject impersonated	
	the Lakewood RAP Housing Authority CFO(b)(6): and requested funds be delivered to a fraudulent account.	
10/27/2020	The SIA/HQ Ops $(h)(7)(F)$ data on October 30, 2019, from the $(h)(7)(F)$. This data was cross-	(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
	referenced with HUD's (b)(7), wherein duplicative (b)(1) numbers were removed and the data separated according to HUD	
	OIG investigative region. (b)(7) information was disseminated to the respective regions and Region 2 (New York) has	
	opened an investigation for FY2020 to further vet the allegations.	
		ľ
11/27/2020	In elections from 2015-2019, Hoboken Housing Authority (HHA) tenants were allegedly receiving \$35-\$50 each when they	Allegation Unsubstantiated.
	voted by mail for a specific local political candidate.	•
8/16/2021	It is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) , has been closing loans and showing payoff letters	FHFA OIG conducted this investigation. HUD OIG was not
	for previous mortgages, but not paying off those loans. One of those unpaid loans is FHA loan number (b)(6):	involved and was merely following up on a separate complaint
	(h)(h)) and others are essentially diverting the funds that were intended to pay off existing liens for their own benefits.	referral from Fannie Mae, regarding one FHA-insured loan
		that was already incorporated into FHFA OIG's investigation.
		Therefore, HUD OIG is administratively closing this file with no
		further action contemplated.
6/28/2021	HUD OIG received an allegation that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$, r, was misusing HUD funds. According	Allogation Lingup stantiated
0/20/2021	to the allegation, $(h)(h)(h)$ held HUD funds in city bank accounts for prolonged periods of time before returning them to	Anegation onsubstantiateu.
	HUD. It is unknown what (h)(6) did with the funds while under her control.	
6/22/2021	Boston Housing Authority Investigator alleges United States Postal Service employee, (h)(6).	Administratively close
-,,	reporting inaccurate income and adjusting payroll hours during recertification periods to ensure higher HAP payments.	
5/25/2021	HUD OIG, Newark, NJ, received a lead, via email, from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Fannie Mae, stating	Allegation unsubstantiated.
	that (b)(6): possibly orchestrated a default of an FHA loan in the amount of \$350,000 and then bought it back at	
	the Sheriff's sale for \$175,000.	
1/13/2021	HUD OIG will review all Care Act Allegations and provide necessary support.	Allegation Unsubstantiated.
6/3/2021	(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(Allegation unsubstantiated
	while on HHA time and never appears in the office. (K)(A) uses the HHA gas fob to fill up his personal and family vehicles	
	with gas from a Bergen County Facility. $(h)(A)$ hired $(h)(A) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ over 8 other qualified	
4/1/2021	candidates and on the 91st day placed him on disability. The HUD/OIG Hotline received an anonymous allegation that $(h)(h)$ a Section 8 recipient, is allowing (b)(6):	Allegation unsubstantiated, case administratively closed
4/1/2021	a registered sex offender, to reside in her HUD-supported unit as an unauthorized tenant.	Anegation unsubstantiateu, case auministratively closeu
4/8/2021	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Criminal Investigative Division received an allegation that Apex Building	Administratively Closed
., ., _, _,	Company, Inc. applied for and received a New York City Housing Preservation and Development contract under false	
	pretenses to perform lead abatement work. The contract may have been paid for using a HUD Lead Hazard Reduction	
	Demonstration Grant.	

Data Classed	Investigative Description		Dispecition
	Investigative Description	t Agoncy omployoog ware on a list of	Disposition
3/30/2021	A Newsday article alleged that two Town of Huntington (TOH) Community Development		This program does not received HUD funds.
	those who qualified for the affordable housing lottery. The TOH spokeswoman was quoted as saying that employees are permitted to participate in the program as long as they have no role in administering the lottery process. However, one employee who does administer the program was found to have ranked highly on the lottery list. The remaining question is		
	whether this is a HUD or NYS program.	tery list. The remaining question is	
8/23/2021		a FHA loan, and that it is her primary	Allegation unfounded
0/23/2021	residence, in violation of NYCHA rules.	a mix loan, and that it is net primary	Allegation amounted.
6/10/2021		allegedly stole a large amount of	Successful Prosecution
0,10,2021	funds that were from HUD, Mass agencies, and private donors.	anegedly stole a large amount of	Succession research
10/1/2020	Allegations received from the Westbrook, Maine Housing Authority (WHA) claim that	(6). has been living with his	Administratively Closed - allegations unsubstantiated
	(h)(6)· (h)(7)(C) for several years while never listed as a part of the househol		, 0
	admitted to be living with (b)() for years, claims that he has worked and his wages were		
	further claims that $(b)(6)$ purchased a home with the help of a USDA program and he w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	however his income was never reported.		
11/16/2020	(b)(6)·(b)(7)(C) contacted this reporting agent and advised he had an investig	ation involving at least 12 Jersey City	Successful Prosecution.
	Police Officers who allegedly had been getting paid overtime for side jobs they weren't	working. (h)(6) stated he	
	recently obtained information that the Jersey City Housing Authority was one of the local	ations that these officers got paid	
	overtime and failed to work.		
4/14/2021	Allegations indicate that Section 8 tenants (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) stole the ide	entity of others in order to receive	Successful prosecution
	Section 8 assistance, SSA benefits, and Food stamps. In addition, the subjects obtained		
	identities. Case was presented to USAO for prosecutive opinion. Case was assigned to	(h)(6)·(h)(7)(C) Case is a	
	joint investigation with SSA/OIG and U.S. State Dept. Diplomatic Security.		
1/19/2021	is allegedly renting substandard housing units to participants of the housi	ng choice voucher program.	Allegation not substantiated.
6/14/2021	This case is being opened to develop new cases which will have substantial impact of HU	ID programs most OI performance	Successful Prosecution
0/14/2021	measures and use investigative resources judiciously. In addition, remaining criminal, ci		Successful Prosecution
	cases which were recently closed will be recorded herein.	and administrative actions for	
6/21/2021	Image: State of the state o	ey who could get their BREM grant	Successful Prosecution.
0/21/2021	applications processed for a fee. $(h)(6)$ was an employee of $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$	and may have defrauded 40	Succession resolution.
	RREM applicants.	and may have demadded to	
3/11/2021	On February 4, 2015, a press conference was held to announce the formation of the Cor	nnecticut Public Corruption Task	Successful Prosecution
-,,	Force to investigate corrupt public officials, the misuse of public funds and related crimi	-	
	Corruption Task Force includes representatives from the Federal Bureau of Investigation	,	
	Service, Internal Revenue Service – Criminal Investigation Division, and the Inspector Ge		
	Department of Health and Human Services and the United States Department of Housin	g and Urban Development. The Task	
	Force is focused on rooting out not only corrupt elected officials, but also federal, state	and municipal employees who use	
	their position for personal gain at the expense of the public good. The Task Force also w	vill investigate corruption that	
	threatens public resources, the electoral process, and fair economic opportunities for ci	tizens and businesses. In addition,	
	the Task Force is charged with uncovering corruption within both public and private inst	titutions that receive and misuse	
	taxpayer dollars.		
3/15/2021	HSI Agent requested assistance from HUD OIG regarding an alleged identity theft case in	-	Prosecution Declined
	The suspect resides in Central Falls RI and is allegedly using the identity of L	-	
	subject is receiving SSA benefits and Medicaid benefits. A review of the EIV database re	flects the suspect is receiving HUD	
	project based Section 8 benefits and has been receiving these HUD benefits since 2005.		

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
3/30/2021	tenant. It is alleged that (b)(6); has not occupied her public housing unit since 2011 and has been residing in Florida since	
12/28/2020	that time. Anonymous complainant alleged this management company has been misappropriating HUD funds and using HUD funds for personal gain. Complainant alleged an employee was fired for refusing to falsify documents which indicated where the HUD funds were actually going.	action. Allegation Unsubstantiated.
1/15/2021	(h)(h) has been charging section 8 tenant $(h)(h)$ a monthly surcharge for water outside of the section 8 HAP contract.	Successful civil prosecution
6/17/2021	On October 23, 209 HUD OIG Investigations received anonymous complaint alleging fraud within the Burrillville Housing Authority, specifically a housing authority employee being granted a housing unit through non-traditional means. In November 2019, the HUD Public Housing Office provided HUD OIG with more information on the complaint alleging (h)(h) (h)(h) provided false information during the application and initial verification to receive a HUD public housing unit.	Prosecution declined
3/11/2021	Case is a spin off case of the Metropolitan Boston Single Family Fraud case number (/h)(7)(F) The case is being opened to investigate alleged bank fraud and mortgage fraud in the Merrimack Valley area through the execution of short-sale schemes.	Successful prosecution
12/28/2020	An Allegation was received from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Office of Inspector General, that there is collusion between the Fall River Housing Authority Contracting Officer and an outside contractor. Also, there are conflicts concerning a \$3.5 million contract that was completed at the Fall River Housing Authority, such as Davis Bacon Wages, the use of illegal aliens to complete the work, and product substitution of substandard materials.	Successful Prosecution
1/19/2021	(b)(6); (FHFA) contacted (b)(6); concerning allegations relating to Mortgage Security, Inc., (MSI) East Falmouth, Massachusetts. An MSI employee has come forward and contacted FHFA to relate his concerns about business practices and mortgages being done outside regulations. The employee has not been interviewed by law enforcement to date. Allegations include double mortgages being taken out on properties and MSI not paying MA state taxes, which homeowners paid up front and are now complaining that their taxes are unpaid.	Successful prosecution
4/27/2021	Assistance has been requested by SSA-OIG to determine if individuals using two social security numbers are accurately reporting SSA income when applying for or recertifying to qualify for Section 8 housing assistance.	Successful Prosecution.
10/28/2020	A detective from the Office of the Attorney General, Medicaid Fraud & Residential Abuse Unit, Montpelier, VT, alleged (1) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by a	Administratively Closed
6/25/2021	number of New York-based investors. As part of the purchase, the investors received approximately 6 million in HUD loans. Allegedly, one of the facilities is currently in the process of closing. The FBI office located in Bedford, NH received a complaint concerning a company called CENLAR Mortgage. The complainant stated they had been charged various fees that she did not understand and when she contacted CENLAR, they were not helpful. The complainant is trying to correct this over charging issue so she does not go into foreclosure. CENLAR is one of the largest sub-servicing companies in the country, and had over 700 complaints against them in 2017 alone.	Administratively Closed
1/27/2021	(b)(6); and (h)(6): obtained three 3 HUD insured loans which went into early foreclosure. (h)(6): and (h)(6) have attempted to defraud banks, credit card companies, and other lenders through a debt elimination scheme and other fraudulent tactics. As part of the scheme, (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) have sent fraudulent cashier's checks, money orders, and other monetary instruments in an effort to eliminate or reduce lawful financial debt. Fraudulent money orders were sent to a HUD servicing contractor to pay off a mortgage. In other instances, (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) submitted handwritten 1099A's alleging income from HUD to the Internal Revenue Service. The FBI and other law enforcement agencies have described (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) as Sovereign Citizens based upon their behaviors and schemes.	Successful Prosecution.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
10/28/2020	(h)(A)· (h)(7)(C) alleged that the defendants, (h)(A)· (h)(7)(C) violated the False Claims Act,	Successful Prosecution (civil settlement)
	by impermissibly demanding and accepting excess rent payments (b)(6): every month between March 2016 and	
	November 2017 while he simultaneously received Section 8 rental assistance payments $(h)(6)$: $(h)(7)(C)$ from the	
	Metro Boston Housing ("MBH").	
6/10/2021	A complainant contacted to HUD OIG Hotline to report an allegation that Central Falls Housing Authority employees were	Allegation unsubstantiated
	stealing air conditioners and the selling them for their own benefit.	
10/20/2020	A New York City Housing Authority tenant, $(h)(6)$ is alleging that a program where NYCHA tenant association	Prosecution Successful.
	presidents have been placing tenants into NYCHA jobs to repair Superstorm Sandy damage at prevailing construction wages	
	(Section 3), the tenants have to give weekly "donations" to local coalition heads as a kickback in order to keep their jobs.	
11/17/2020	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	Administratively Class
11/17/2020	and possibly others associated with (b)(6). have been reported to be using intimidation and other tactics to coerce voters	Administratively Close
	to submit absentee ballots(b)(6): during the days leading up to the $08/14/2018$ Democratic Primary in Connecticut.	
	Some of the voters live at HUD Multi-Family complexes in Hartford (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
6/8/2021	It is alleged that $(b)(6)$ is receiving Section 8 assistance for a property that she is renting from $(b)(6)$: It is further	Prosecution Declined
-,-,	alleged that the (h)/6) resides with (h)/6) and is giving (h)/6) a portion of the rent payment he receives from HUD.	
3/16/2021	February 1, 2019, FBI (Worcester, MA) provided information to (b)(6); that (b)(6); was alleged to have	Administratively closed
	assisted others in falsifying documents used to qualify for mortgages on various residential properties.	
4/22/2021	Anonymous letter advises (b)(6) is skipping people on the Section 8 waiting list and providing vouchers to her friends and	Allegation unsubstantiated.
	other housing authority employees while not charging them accurate rent.	
12/16/2020	The HUD OIG New York office received a hotline complaint alleging that (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	HUD OIG could not substantiate the allegations that (h)(6
	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) discriminated against an applicant and took her off the waiting list for senior citizen housing because she	discriminated against any applicants by asking for or accepting
	refused to pay \$15,000 in order to be skipped on the waiting list.	any bribes from them in connection with their application for
		a subsidized apartment (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Ultimately, the (h)(6).
		(h)(declined to prosecute based on the lack of evidence that
		a crime had been committed. No further investigative activity
		is contemplated, and this case is administratively closed.
6/14/2021	This is being opened to allow SA's to develop Sandy cases including those with the New York City Department of	Allegation unsubstantiated.

This is being opened to allow SA's to develop Sandy cases including those with the New York City Department Investigations, the New York City Housing Authority, and New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation. 6/14/2

Date Closed Investigative Description

9/28/2021 HUD OIG received an anonymous hotline complaint alleging that a HUD employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been a chronic drug user while employed with the HUD – DC office. The complainant provided various court cases purporting to show that (h)(h) had several encounters with law enforcement that allegedly resulted in drug charges. Further, the complainant reported that he took....a drug charge for the subject and he assisted the subject in covering-up a hit and run. It was also alleged that (h)(h) requently use marijuana, meth, and cocaine. HUD OIG received a subsequent hotline complaint regarding the aforementioned allegations from the complainant, $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ also reported that he has evidence (screenshots, photos, videos, and messages) of the subject using illegal narcotics and soliciting drugs.

HUD OIG received a hotline complaint alleging that a HUD employee (b)(6) has been a chronic drug user while employed with the HUD – DC office. HUD OIG determined that from May 31, 2016 to the present, the subject has held the position as a $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$ The subject admitted that while employed for HUD he has used marijuana but denied using any other illegal substances. The subject denied ever using illegal drugs/substances, while on work or telework status, during his commute to work, or possessing illegal drugs on government property. The subject admitted that he and the complainant were involved in a law enforcement encounter on May 17, 2020, to which he admitted to smoking marijuana that day and turned over possession of a "joint filled with Marijuana in a cigarette pack" to the responding police officers. HUD OIG determined that during the May 17, 2020 law enforcement encounter, the complainant admitted that all the drugs belonged to him and he was subsequently charged with drug possession. HUD OIG did not find any evidence and/or reports involving drug violations or charges associated with the subject. Further, the subject denied any involvement with a hit and run vehicle accident. HUD OIG did not find any evidence/reports related to a hit and run accident associated with the subject. HUD OIG confirmed that the subject does not hold a national security clearance.

9/21/2021 HUD OIG received a complaint from another law enforcement agency that a Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program participant subleased their subsidized unit to two individuals and may have received subsidy that they was not entitled to.

Disposition

HUD OIG did not find any evidence and/or reports involving drug violations or charges associated with $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$ denied any involvement with a hit and run vehicle accident. HUD provided their disposition report in response to HUD OIG's Report of Investigation pertaining to $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$. In result, HUD decided that no personnel action was warranted in this matter. HUD noted that $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$ tends counseling sessions with $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$: $(\underline{b})(7)(C)$ participates in biweekly/weekly meetings with $(\underline{h})(\underline{6}) \cdot (\underline{h})(7)(C)$ and attended two HUD Ethics training sessions covering the roles of a federal employee. No additional investigative activity is warranted at this time, and this matter will be closed.

HUD OIG present the above facts to the USAO-DC and the DC Superior Court, Fraud Division for possible prosecution. The USAO-DC and DC Superior Court declined prosecution because (b)(7)(E) As a result, HUD OIG referred (b)(G); to the DC Housing Authority for

eviction or whatever action deemed appropriate. No further investigative activity or referrals are contemplated in this matter.

3/31/2021

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
4/30/2021	Complainant alleged that the Housing Authority of Benwood and McMechen, WV is receiving HUD subsidy for vacant units after tenants have moved out	Prosecution declined. Investigation complete.
4/6/2021	HUD-OIG cross checked the Virginia State Police's (VASP) Sex Offenders Registry against the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Public Housing database in an effort to determine whether any individuals listed on the registry are receiving federal housing assistance from HUD in Virginia. Sixty-four potential matches were found and were referred to the applicable PHA for potential administrative action. 30 HCVP participants had their assistance terminated as a result of this investigation.	Closed by Referral/ Administrative Closure
1/14/2021	[REDACTED] HUD OIG received a referral from another law enforcement agency that a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) participant failed to report their true household composition and income. Specifically, it was alleged that the HCVP participant failed to report that an unauthorized tenant had been living with them for over a year at their subsidized housing. Further, it is alleged that the HCVP participant is operating an unlicensed daycare from their subsidized housing, and failed to report the income. The investigation did not substantiate the allegations. This investigation determined that the HCVP participant had received a voucher administered by the Baltimore Regional Housing Partnership (BRHP) from 2017 to the present for the subsidized housing. HUD OIG was not able to determine that an unauthorized tenant lived at the aforementioned subsidized housing. In addition, there was no evidence discovered during the course of this investigation that the HCVP participant was operating an unlicensed daycare from the subsidized housing. 	Allegations Not Substantiated.
8/4/2021	Anonymous complaint alleges that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ embezzled funds from the HUD-assisted	Investigation determined the $(b)(6)$; embezzled \$3, 289

 8/4/2021
 Anonymous complaint alleges that [(b)(6); (b)(/)(C)
 Jembezzled funds from the HUD-assisted

 property.
 An anonymous complaint alleged that the

 [(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)
 for HUD-subsidized property (b)(6):

 investigation determined that the(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)
 embezzled funds from the property. HUD OIG

 [(b)(6):
 was charged by criminal complaint with violating Pennsylvania Title 18 § 3927 (Theft by Failure to Make Required)

Disposition of Funds). She pleaded guilty to a reduced offense, Pennsylvania Title 18 § 5503 (Disorderly Conduct) and paid \$3,289 as restitution.

Investigation determined the (b)(6); embezzled \$3, 289 from the property. (h)(6): was charged by criminal complaint with violating Pennsylvania Title 18 § 3927 (Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Funds). She pleaded guilty to a reduced offense, Title 18 § 5503 (Disorderly Conduct) and Paid \$3,289 as restitution. HUD OIG referred this information to the DEC for (b)(6): potential debarment, however a debarment action has yet to occur. As a result of this information, it was decided that our office would not wait on the debarment action and close this investigation.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
8/13/2021	Received a referral from NJDCJ asking for assistance in a RREM recipient who is suspected of receiving RREM funds for a DPA that may not have been his primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy, a program requirement. *********Redacted Narrative************************************	Investigation complete. Case is being closed.
12/1/2020	Former employee of a housing authority in Virginia reported that they had information related to fraud at the housing authority.	Closed by Referral
12/10/2020	HUD OIG received a referral from a federal prosecutor who stated they may have information on a Housing Choice Voucher head of household who underreported their income. The investigation was able to substantiate that allegation. However, prosecution was declined, (b)(7)(E) Subsequently, the matter was administratively referred to the appropriate offices for any action they deemed appropriate.	Referral to Program Staff / HUD
12/14/2020	The Quality Assurance Division (QAD) received a lender self-report involving misrepresentation of a borrower's employment-related income. The lender reported that loan officer $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ Nationwide Multistate Licensing System (NMLS) ID No $(b)(6)$; representing third-party originator $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ Completed a verbal verification of employment on November 12, 2018, without contacting $(b)(6)$; to re-verify the borrower's actual employment. The borrower's employment with $(b)(6)$; ended on October 22, 2018, prior to the loan closing on November 19, 2018. — A referral from HUD's Quality Assurance Division indicated that a loan officer completed a verbal verification of employment (VOE) for an FHA borrower without contacting their employer to re-verify their employment. HUD OIG investigation substantiated the allegation. HUD OIG investigation also determined that the loan officer originated three additional FHA loans that contained false verbal VOE's. Prosecution was declined in this matter $(b)(7)(E)$	Referral to Program Staff / HUD
8/13/2021	Assigned SA will proactively review and determine HCVP Landlords' compliance with HAP contracts. *******Redacted Narrative************************************	Investigation complete. Case is being closed.
8/2/2021	HUD OIG received a witness relocation request from the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of West Virginia, to relocate a witness to a major methamphetamine distribution conspiracy through the witness relocation program.	The matter inadvertently converted into an investigation over the weekend. OI had assisted with obtaining a witness relocation voucher and, the witness relocation package has been approved and forwarded to the respective housing authority for processing. This matter is being administratively closed. No further action is required by OI.

Data Class	Investigative Description	Disperities
	Investigative Description	Disposition
6/9/2021	HUD OIG received a referral from HUD regarding potential fraudulent lead certifications. Employees of a home inspection company allegedly falsely represented and certified that homes were lead free when, in fact, they were not. The	Successful prosecution. No further investigation is warranted
		at this time.
	investigation was able to substantiate the allegations. The subject pled guilty to three counts of violating the Toxic	
	Substances Control Act He/she was sentenced to 12 months' probation and ordered to pay a \$10,000 fine.	
1/14/2021	Case was initiated based on a referral from The New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice Hurricane Sandy Taskforce. Initial file	Investigation complete
1/14/2021	review by DCA revealed that the applicant applied for RREM, RSP, and FEMA grants for a DPA located at $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$	investigation complete
	(b)(6). $(b)(7)(C)$ Review disclosed that this may not be the applicant's primary residence during Super Storm Hurricane	
	Sandy. Based on the above, case was referred for further investigationThe U.S. Department of Housing and Urban	
	Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), initiated this investigation on May 27, 2016 after receiving a referral	
	from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ). The referral disclosed that the subject may have fraudulently	
	applied for and obtained a resettlement grant (RSP) in the amount of \$10,000 along with a grant from the Reconstruction,	
	Rehabilitation, Elevation, and Mitigation program (RREM) in the amount of \$150,000. The case was accepted for prosecution	
	by the New Jersey Attorney General's office and the subject was acquitted at trial.	
	by the New Jersey Attorney General's office and the subject was acquitted at that.	
7/22/2021	The Philadelphia Home Ownership Center (HOC) Real Estate Owned (REO) Division has discovered 19 REO properties, which	Investigation is complete. Case is being administratively
,,22,2021	currently have unauthorized occupants living in these HUD owned properties. The issue of adverse occupancy in the	closed with no further activity anticipated.
	Philadelphia and surrounding area involving properties in the HUD REO inventory has been on the rise. This complaint is	closed with no fulfiller activity anticipated.
	being opened to look into the matter of adverse occupancy of REO properties in the Philadelphia and surrounding area REO.	
	Of the 19 REO properties identified by the Philadelphia REO Division as having unauthorized/illegal tenants residing in them.	
	Three of these REO properties have been leased to tenants by a landlord named or using the name (F_1/c) . The	
	fraudulent leases for these three properties list three different addresses for the landlord, [(b)(c)). The only	
	identifier used by this landlord in all three fraudulent leases is the phone number (h)(6). In addition to the 19	
	properties with known unauthorized tenants residing in them the REO Division has identified 9 REO properties currently in	
	the REO inventory, which are currently advertised on Craigslist for fraudulent lease or sale. These 9 REO properties are listed	
	on Craigslist using the contact phone number $h_{1/6}$. This phone number has been associated to an individual by	
	the name of $(h)/(h)$ and $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ The address associated with $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Currently, there are no unauthorized or illegal tenants	
	residing in these 9 identified REO properties listed on Craigslist per a physical inspections conducted by Philadelphia REO	
	Division staff on 5/4/2017. Request this complaint be opened to determine if any illegal leasing or selling of REO properties	
	can be associated with any individuals for potential criminal prosecution. ********Redacted	
	Narrative************************************	
	Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Real Estate Owned Division, Philadelphia Office. Investigation resulted in a suspect	
	being charged with Pennsylvania State forgery, theft by unlawful taking, theft by deception and theft by receiving stolen	
	property violations. These charges were filed against the subject for making fraudulent misrepresentations, including a fake	
	lease agreement when he/she illegally rented a HUD REO property in the City of Philadelphia, PA to victim renters. The City	
	of Philadelphia District Attorney's Office is prosecuting this case.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
10/6/2020	Proactive investigation by HUD OIG yielded evidence of fraud in the origination of FHA-insured mortgages in the Monroe County, Pennsylvania area. A former loan officer and the sales manager of an area builder were given Pretrial Diversion dispositions as a result. Redacted narrativeHUD OIG initiated this investigation following a proactive review of Federal Housing Administration (FHA) loan default data for FHA-insured Single Family mortgages Pennsylvania HUD OIG investigated possible misconduct in the origination of FHA-insured mortgages by a loan officer after preliminary inquiries suggested possible involvement by "straw borrowers" in certain mortgages originated by the loan officer and involving a sales manager for a home builder. In May 2020, the United States Attorney's Office approved Pretrial Diversion dispositions for loan officer and sales manager. No losses were realized by HUD or FHA	Investigation completed.
8/13/2021	Information gleaned from OIG review of HOC referrals indicates that FHA insured borrower (h)(A)· (h)(7)(C) (h)(A)· (h)(7)(C) might not have moved into the property he purchased. (h)(A)· appears to be the loan officer for the(h)(FHA loan. OIG will review this loan, as well as others, to determine if borrowers are failing to move into their properties and using FHA loans for the mere purpose to buy rental properties in Reading, PA or in other areas. In addition, OIG will review some of (h)(A)· FHA loan originations to determine if gift funds were legitimate or not. ************************************	Investigation complete. Case is being closed.
10/27/2020	HUD OIG proactive review of FHA insured loan obtained for a property located in Virginia Beach, VA. Single loan fraud was found and referred to program.	Closed by referral (to HUD)
1/14/2021	The OIG received a referral from the NJDCJ alleging that $(h)(h)$ applied for and received RSP and RREM grant funds for a DPA that might not be his primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), initiated this investigation on September 19, 2019, after receiving a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ). The referral disclosed that the subject may have fraudulently applied for and obtained a resettlement grant (RSP) in the amount of \$10,000 along with a grant from the Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation, and Mitigation program (RREM) in the amount of \$149,771 and FEMA funds of \$31,898.62. The case was accepted for prosecution by the New Jersey Attorney General's office and the subject subsequently pled guilty. Subject paid restitution in the mount of \$191,669.62 and was sentenced to 36 months' probation.	Investigation complete
8/13/2021	The OIG received a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ) alleging that <u>(h)(A)</u> applied for and received a RREM Grant for a Damaged Property Address (DPA) in Toms River, NJ that may not have been her primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy, a program requirement ********Redacted Narrative****************** The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), initiated this investigation on September 5, 2019 after receiving a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ). The referral disclosed that the subject may have fraudulently applied for and obtained a resettlement grant (RSP) in the amount of \$10,000 along with a grant from the Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, Elevation, and Mitigation program (RREM) in the amount of \$150,000. The case was declined for prosecution by the New Jersey Attorney General's office.	Investigation complete. Case is being closed.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
1/12/2021	On or about April 2019, the Allegheny County Housing Authority (ACHA) Police Department requested the assistance from	Prosecution Declined
	HUD OIG regarding a tenant fraud case involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) It is alleged that (b)(6):	
	(b)(6); employee and not reporting her income to the ACHA. It is also alleged that $(h)(6)$: is living at a Project Based	
	unit in McKees Rocks, PA. On or about April 2019, a housing authority police department requested the assistance from	
	HUD OIG regarding a tenant fraud case involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) It was alleged that the employee did not report	
	their income to the housing authority. It was also alleged that the employee was living at a Project Based unit in McKees	
	Rocks, PA.	
7/23/2021	Meridian Bank QC review found fraudulent paystubs and CPA letters used to originate an FHA insured mortgage.	Prosecution declined.
	*************REDACTED NARRATIVE************************************	
	originating lender who identified potential fraudulent documents associated with the attempted origination of an FHA	
	insured mortgage. The OIG could not establish a pervasive pattern of fraud involving the sampling of loans reviewed in this	
	case. The OIG did confirm that at least one document was potentially fraudulent, and identified two others that were	
	questionable. The case was declined by the USAO for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania $(b)(7)(E)$	
	(h)(7)(F)	
3/8/2021	The McKeesport Housing Authority (HA) notified this office of alleged fraud within their Housing Choice Voucher Program	Successful Prosecution.
	(HCVP). It is alleged that HCV participant $\frac{h(h)(6)}{h(h)}$ made false statements to the HA for personal gain. A Housing Authority	
	(HA) in the Western District of PA notified HUD OIG alleged fraud within their Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP). It is	
	alleged that a HCV participant made false statements to the HA for personal gain. The HCV participant was ultimately	
	charged with Theft by Unlawful Taking [PA Title 18 SEC 3921] and was ordered to pay \$10,000 in restitution to the HA.	
2/5/2021	UUD OIC investigated ellegations that a fermer Usuaing Chaice Versher Dragram (UCVD), landlard mode false an misleading	The energy status uses show and to Classed for the following
2/5/2021	HUD OIG investigated allegations that a former Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) landlord made false or misleading	The case status was changed to Closed for the following
	claims to a local Public Housing Authority concerning utility payments for HCVP-assisted units, resulting in HUD losses	reason: Investigation complete.
	totaling approximately \$10,000.00. Criminal prosecution was declined and the landlord's business entity dissolved following bankruptcy proceedings	
	bankruptcy proceedings.	

	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/8/2020	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Philadelphia Office of HUD-OIG received a (h)(6): (h)(7)(C): (h)(7)(F)	Administratively closed - USAO does not intend to pursue HUD
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	allegations
		l

3/2/2021 On October 22, 2018, the OIG was contacted by a housing authority (HA) in PA. According to HA, it recently discovered what Successful prosecution/referred to HUD it believes is a prohibited familial relationship between a Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) landlord, (b)(6); and HCV tenant. The HA became suspicious when it received proof from the landlord that he had paid property taxes on the HCV-subsidized residence he rents to the tenant. The email address supplied by the landlord to county and detailed on the tax receipt has (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The HA requested that the OIG investigate this matter.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
8/13/2021	Complainant alleges that <u>(H)(A)·(H)(7)(C)</u> Community Action Development Corporation of Allentown (CADCA) is steering grants to CADCA employees and Allentown City Officials. Complainant states <u>(H)(A)</u> sits on the Allentown Housing Authority Board. These activities are a conflict of interest. Complainant states CADCA is a non-profit and hides its conflict of interest activities involving grants from the City of Allentown and the IRS by incorrectly answering questions 25 through 27 of part 4 on the non-profits publicly available Form 990. ********Redacted Narrative************************************	Case is being administratively closed.
3/8/2021	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported to the OIG Hotline that the owner and management agent of a FHA-insured Multifamily project in Buckhannon, WV have been utilizing an Identity of Interest company and paying inflated property management fees, admin costs, and other fees in violation of HUD regulations. OIG investigation found that the allegation was unsubstantiated. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported to the OIG Hotline that the owner and management agent of a FHA-insured Multifamily project in Buckhannon, WV have been engaging in gross mismanagement for the purpose of personal enrichment by utilizing an Identity of Interest company and paying inflated property management fees, admin costs, and other fees in violation of the law and HUD regulations since 2011. The project also allegedly provides project based Section 8.	Allegation Not Substantiated
4/9/2021	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) applied for and received \$150,000 in RREM and \$10,000 in RSP grant funds they were not entitled to receive	Investigation complete
4/9/2021	(h)(A):(h)(A):misrepresented his primary residence and was awarded \$150,000 in RREM benefits and \$10,000 inRRSP.***Redacted Narrative***The OIG initiated this investigation after receiving a referral from the New Jersey Division ofCriminal Justice (NJDCJ).The CDBG-DR recipients misrepresented their primary residence to the State of New JerseyDivision of Community Affairs (NJDCA) and were awarded funds they were not entitled to receive for a seasonal residence.The grantees paid NJDCA, \$163,851, in previously awarded grant funds	Investigation complete

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
1/14/2021	Citizens made a complaint that (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) United Cerebral	Palsy of Northern Central Investigation complete
	and Southern New Jersey, Inc. allegedly has misrepresented material information on his application	with the New Jersey
	Redevelopment Authority which enabled him to secure a \$500,000.00 loan. The loan was to build a	projected 9 single family
	homes for handicap families. NJRA has already disbursed \$193,908 to (b)(6); as of 03/2014	The initial pr <u>oposed use</u>
	has now been changed or is being changed to different structures housing transitional mental health	patients and (b)(6);
	and company are allegedly seeking additional sponsorship and funding. The complainants allege tha	t there are material
	misrepresentations on $(b)(6)$; application certifications and they have complained to NJ Depart	ment of Community
	Affairs. The complainants would like a review of the application process as well as any misuse of mo	
	their attempt to halt this type of project in their community***REDACTED NARRATIVE***T	he OIG received a
	complaint that a non-profit organization made material misrepresentations on their application to the	ne New Jersey
	Redevelopment Authority (NJRDA) in order to qualify for low interest loans, funded by Community D	•
	Disaster Recovery (CDBGDR) appropriations to NJ for Hurricane Sandy relief. The OIG investigation of	
	profit organization did not make material misrepresentations on their applications and the CDBG-DF	
	accordance to the NJRDA Guidelines. The investigation did disclose that the non-profit organization	
	disposition of property received and did not register with the State of New Jersey as a charitable org	
	Attorney General Office accepted this investigation for criminal prosecution. The non-profit organization of Dependent Provided Theorem 2019	
	and pled guilty to Theft by Failure to Make Required Disposition of Property Received. They were on	
	in the amount of \$225,000, pay a civil fine in the amount of \$160,000 to the State of New Jersey, an organization.	a ordered to dissolve the
	organization.	
12/4/2020	Apartment Complex moved people into their system without actually moving them into their units d	ue to apartment Allegations Not Substantiated
	renovations. During the renovation process tenants were displaced which lead to inaccuracies in the	e database system. The
	apartment complex located misplaced tenants and received all missing certifications. Property Man	agement submitted the
	tenant files in the database system to indicate the tenant present at the Apartment complex. The	
	showing the active tenant count per unit is at 99 percent (%) for the property. Based on the findings	of this investigation,
	there were no evidence of fraud. On October 16, 2020, the aforementioned case was presented to	the United States
	Attorney's Office (USAO), and based on the information provided, the USAO concluded, there were	no evidence of criminal
	activity.	
3/16/2021	Complainant alleges that a violent sex offender resides with $(b)(6)$; in subsidized housing	•
	Knoxville Community Development Corporation (KCDC). Subject has lived in KCDC subsidized housin	
	failed to include (b)(6); and his income. Complainant further alleges subject claimed (h)(6).	
		tigation revealed that
	subject completed application documents for admission into the HCVP, wherein subject submitted a	
	certificate for $(b)(6)$: The altered birth certificate falsely claimed that $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$	Subject
	claimed (b)(6): attended schools in Knox County, TN when she actually attended school in Jo	
	to report the same household composition during annual/interim reexaminations. In December 20	
	terminated from the HCVP after KCDC received information from TDHS OIG that her husband was us	
	address as his sex offender registry address. Subject potentially received \$29,464 in benefits to whic	n sne was not entitled.
	Prosecution was declined.	

Date Closed Investigative Description

- 3/9/2021 Complainant alleges that an appraisal conducted pursuant to an FHA insured refinance transaction is fraudulent. Specifically, Prosecution Declined it is alleged that inflated comparables and unsupported adjustments were used to determine the appraised value. Complainant further alleges the named appraiser may not have actually inspected the property or written the report as the purported appraiser is located in Cleveland, TN and the subject property is located in Vestavia Hills, AL. The report is replete with errors and omissions and not representative of an appraiser with the level of experience of the purported appraiser. Investigation revealed subject is under active investigation by the Alabama Real Estate Appraisers Board (AREAB) relative to the FHA origination appraisal that is the subject of this investigation. AREAB reported they should be able to provide most of the investigative records when the matter is resolved. Subsequent efforts to obtain those materials met with negative results as multiple requests to AREAB have not been acknowledged. Investigation further revealed that the borrowers refinanced the FHA mortgage in or about May 18, 2020. Such refinance resulted in the full payoff of the loan and termination of the FHA mortgage insurance. Prosecution was declined.

2/25/2021	Complainant contacted the OIG hotline to report that(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)was misappropriating funds from the non-profit. Complainant also questioned the businessrelationship between an individual and (b)(6):Supposedly(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)However,Complainant found it suspicious that (b)(6):operated a company that managed apartments, which an individual used tohouse the foundation's homeless Veterans under the HUD-VASH program. Complainant questioned whether such anarrangement could be a conflict of interest. The allegation concerning the HUD-VASH program were not substantiated. Theinvestigation did not reveal the conflict of interest between(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)The allegations presented by the complaintdid not show a high HUD program impact.	Administratively closed case due to limited HUD exposure. (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(A)
2/5/2021	The US Department of Justice referred to HUD-OIG information indicating possible bid-rigging and consequent misuse of approximately \$90,000,000 USD Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds. The information arises from the award and qualification process of a Request for proposal (RFP) of the utility company in the US Virgin Islands. The investigation revealed that the process provided was arbitrary and competitive among proponents. A review of the evaluation, grading, and procurement process did not indicate bad management of the CDBG-DR funds, which complies with territorial and federal statutes.	Lack of prosecutable merit
1/11/2021	HUD OIG initiated this case based upon proactive case development from a separate cases interview/proffer that alleged that a real estate agent and an investor defrauded the Federal Housing Administration and other Government Sponsored Enterprises. The real estate agent and investor purportedly purchased and subsequently resold short sale properties on the same day they were acquired at a higher price and used businesses that they controlled as a vehicle to conceal the true ownership of the property. The investigation determined that there was no loss to the Federal Housing Administration nor were there any other victims at this time. This case was administratively closed.	Allegations Not Substantiated

Disposition

Administratively closed due to allegations unsubstantiated.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
4/13/2021	During a management review, a state agency discovered that the Municipality of Coamo (COAMO) allegedly did not follow the Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State, Local, and Federally Recognized Indian Tribal Governments, 24 C.F.R. Section 85.36 (1988). The findings were presented to the US Attorney's Office Civil Division, triggering a settlement agreement with COAMO to which the latter agreed to pay \$25,000.00, divided as follows: \$15,000.00 to HUD and \$10,000.00 to the Department of Justice.	Successful civil settlement
2/19/2021	FHFA-OIG received information alleging suspect, and in some cases, confirmed fraudulent information was used to originate about 13 mortgage loans <u>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)</u> The loans featured suspect signatures on letters of explanation, and suspect occupancy, employment, income, asset, and liability information. Further investigation revealed that <u>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)</u> originated FHA-insured loans that fell into default status after only a few mortgage payments. According to a report obtained from the Single Family Data Warehouse (SFDW), <u>(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)</u> originated approximately 445 FHA insured loans between 2011 and 2020. Further investigation revealed that approximately 61 FHA insured loans fell into delinquent status and 172 have been terminated due sales or refinances. In the majority of the delinquent loans the financial institutions gave a formal forbearance to the borrowers as a result of a National Emergency Declaration. The allegations of suspected false information and documentation during the origination of the FHA loans were not corroborated because the FHA loan binders were not accessible as a result of the pandemic. The investigation revealed that at this time HUD has minimum losses because the financial institutions agreed to work with the borrowers to mitigate losses. FHFA-OIG will continue to work the case	Administratively closing due to the (b)(7)(E) on the case. [(b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(A)
5/13/2021	Complaint alleges the housing authority unfairly awarded a bid for exterminating services to an competing company whom believed to have direct ties to the Housing Authority. According to the Federal Conflict of Interest Regulation, "no employee, officer or agent" of the non-federal entity, "may participate in the selection, award or administration of a contract. According to the federal regulation, HUD determined the federal regulation applies only to employees, officers or agents of the Housing Authority; Therefore, HUD concluded, since the competitor bid is not an employee, not a member of the board, and not an officer of the Housing Authority, the Housing Authority could not prohibit the competitor from contracting a bid for pest control services for the Housing Authority. This case and its findings were reported to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) and based on the aforementioned findings presented, the USAO issued a declination to prosecute this case.	Allegations unfounded
6/21/2021	The complainants alleged that the former property manager at a Multifamily property misappropriated funds, unlawfully completed and signed on lease renewal contracts without tenants' permission, as well as forged signed endorsements on tenants' utility assistance checks.	Administratively closed $(b)(7)(E)$ and AUSA declination.
7/29/2021	The subject submitted falsified information and documents to a mortgage lender in addition to falsely claiming to their employer they had contracted Covid-19. The subject pled guilty to one count of Bank Fraud for submitting false information on FHA (h)(h). Ican application and one count of Wire Fraud for falsely claiming Covid-19 to their employer. The subject was sentenced to 3 years confinement and 5 years supervised release and ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$187,550 to a former employer.	All judicial actions completed and subjected referred for administrative actions.
6/25/2021	It is alleged that $[(b)(6)$: for a HUD funded Native American entity in AL is suspected of bid rigging and conflicts of interests in multiple projects The investigation did not produce additional evidence to support the allegations. There is no loss to HUD. No further investigation is warranted. The case will be closed.	Allegations Not Substantiated
6/9/2021	Although a preliminary investigation of the matter indicated that the subject is a realtor that potentially knew that her client (her <u>(L)(2), (L)(7)(7)</u>) and FHA Borrower) did not intend to reside in the FHA property, it does not appear that there is a broader scope which would merit the use of HUDOIG resources.	Referral to Program Staff/HUD

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
8/19/2021	A complaint was received by the HUD OIG Hotline alleging fraud by an employee of a nonprofit organization in Memphis, Tennessee, which received HUD funding to assist the homeless. The complaint alleged the employee had devised a scheme where tenants were required to use an online payment platform which paid her a percentage of the proceeds as personal profit. Additionally, this employee was ordering subordinate employees to perform incorrect and/or inappropriate tasks in furtherance of the scheme.	Case Referred to Program.
1/11/2021	A referral from a HUD alleged that $(h)(h)(7)(C)$ is misappropriating funds. The allegation further alleges that $(h)(h)(7)(C)$ is misappropriating funds. The allegation further alleges that $(h)(h)(f)(h)(f)(C)$ utilized HA funds for personal expenses as well as resided in a public housing unit. HUD, OIG initiated an investigation to investigate this allegation. The investigation revealed questionable purchases that did not have the proper documentation to substantiate the transactions. The investigation also revealed $(h)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)(f)$	Case declined for prosecution, SA referred the matter to the DEC for a PFCRA.
9/9/2021	HUD OIG hotline received a complaint that a (h)(A)((h)(7)(C)) in South Carolina allegedly deleted computer files and removed agency documents upon her termination in an attempt to cover-up her involvement in bid rigging. During the course of the investigation, the (h)(A)(A)(A)(C) admitted she awarded contracts to a contractor in order for her (h)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)	(h) was terminated and referred to the DEC for Debarment, No loss to HUD.
7/27/2021	This investigation was initiated based on allegations received by local law enforcement that the $[h_1(A)_{-}]_{-}$ of the Palm Beach County Housing Authority (PBCHA), $(\underline{r}_{-},\underline{r}_{-},\underline{r}_{-})_{-}$ was demanding kickback payments from construction contractors for work on PBCHA projects. Further, during the investigation allegation that $(\underline{h}_1(A)_{-})_{-}$ received additional compensations was discovered. The allegation concerning the kickbacks received by $(\underline{h}_1(A)_{-})_{-}$ for the construction contractors for work on PBCHA projects were not substantiated. There was no evidence that $(\underline{h}_1(A)_{-})_{-}$ received any kickback from the any contractor. Also, there was no evidence that $(\underline{h}_1(A)_{-})_{-}$ received any kickback for contracts that he awarded without going to the proper bidding process. Further, the investigation revealed that $(\underline{b}_1(B))_{-}$ had an employment contract that allowed him to receive additional compensation like bonus for any cashflow generated. The PBCHA created a HUD- approved nonprofit organization called The Spectra Organization, Inc. to manage the funds that did not come from HUD. This case was worked jointly with the FBI, which closed their case because the allegations were not substantiated. Further, the investigation did not reveal any wrongdoing on the management of HUD funds. In addition, HUD's CMR required for PBCHA to take corrective actions based on the findings. Therefore, based on the above information, it is recommended for this case to be closed.	Administratively closed due to allegations unsubstantiated
11/6/2020	HUD-OIG received allegations that an employee of the housing authority was purchasing personal items online using funds from the housing authority's operating funds. The employee would have the items shipped to the housing authority. The investigation managed to confirm the allegations. The employee pled guilty to 18 USC 666: Theft concerning programs receiving federal funds. The employee was sentenced to 5 year probation and 3 year term of supervised release and ordered to pay \$57,202.07 in restitution.	All Judicial actions complete and referred for administrative actions.
3/30/2021	The complainant operated a business in the City of Ashland, Kentucky and is alleging mismanagement and/or corruption in the administration of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding. Investigation disclosed that of the issues complainant alleged, they were already addressed by HUD; there was no evidence to support his/her claims; the alleged "wrongdoing" was not actually illegal or unethical, but the complainant's opinion; or, the matter did not fall under the jurisdiction of HUD. The complainant was interviewed and claimed that he had such evidence, however after several attempts, he never provided anything more than his original newspaper articles to HUD/OIG. As a result, this matter has been declined and will be closed in HUD/OIG files.	Administratively Closed - allegations previously addressed by HUD

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
10/16/2020	Information was received which indicated that the owner of a Florida, non-supervised, FHA-approved mortgage lender, may have been diverting funds from the mortgage company's operating accounts for personal means. Investigation disclosed that although the owner of the mortgage company, and perhaps others (i.e.: employees) appeared to have used mortgage company funds for personal expenses, such as exotic car payments and luxury jewelry, among other things, this activity was not in violation of criminal statutes related to HUD and/or the IRS. Details gleaned from this investigation were discussed with the assigned AUSA, USAO/Orlando, who ultimately declined prosecution.	Administratively Closed, Allegations unsubstantiated.
4/28/2021	In a HUD - OIG Audit referral, in October 2011, a local hospital in Columbus, GA, secured a loan in the amount of \$210M for debt consolidation and a hospital expansion project. The loan was insured by the HUD - Federal Housing Administration's Section 242 Hospital Mortgage Insurance Program. In June 2014, the hospital requested and received a mortgage increase from HUD in the amount of \$29,887,300. Subsequent to the closing of the mortgage increase, it was discovered that the hospital allegedly failed to disclose to HUD two lines of credit in excess of \$20M and allegedly utilized the funds to pay down the lines of credit. Additionally, it is alleged that the overestimated revenues and underestimated expenses, which inaccurately illustrated the hospital's financial condition. The investigation revealed there was no loss to the government as a result of the sale of the hospital. The case was declined for prosecution. No further investigation necessary.	Prosecution declined
3/9/2021	Investigation was initiated based on a referral from the OIG Hotline. The complaint alleged a bid-rigging/kickback scheme involving the $(b)(6)$; of the Beaufort Housing Authority. Complaint alleged the $(E)(6)$; was soliciting kickbacks from contractors in the amount of 10% of the contract. The investigation did not substantiate the allegations. Interviews with witnesses did not provide substantial leads or relevant testimonial evidence of fraud, waste, or abuse within the housing authority. A review of the housing authorities purchase orders for the period of 2018-2019 did not disclose evidence of fraud, waste, and/or abuse within the housing authority.	Administratively closed, allegation unsubstantiated.
6/24/2021	HUD-OIG Hotline received an anonymous complaint alleging that the $(h)(\beta)$ is unrightfully receiving monthly pay for his personal vehicle. Additionally the complaint alleges that the $(h)(\beta) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$, pays the $(h)(\beta) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ a kickback, awards contracts to himself, and owns the company that has been awarded contracts with the housing authority, valued in excess of \$200K. The investigation did not produce criminal evidence to substantiate the allegation. This investigation has determined that the Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH), has been actively engaged with other program offices such as Quality Assurance Division (QAD), and the Department Enforcement Center (DEC), to identify and coordinate corrective action to improve the performance and financial posture of the Housing Authority of Fulton County (GA264).	Administratively closing, allegations unsubstantiated.
3/9/2021	An investigation was proactively initiated based on news reports that $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ received tax-exempt bonds to purchase and rehabilitate $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ It was alleged that $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ condition worsened after the rehabilitation. The investigation revealed that $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ used Municipal Bonds to purchase the property and Florida tax credits to rehabilitate the property. The only relation that the property had with HUD was the Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contract. The Federal Housing Federal Housing Administration loan was satisfied on 2011, which led to the release of HUD's control over the project. Ultimately, the investigation was unable to find evidence that criminal violations were committed by $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ This does not mean that misrepresentations, mismanagement, suspect activities, and misdirection were not observed or did not occur, but there was insufficient evidence to support the pursuit of a criminal case The case was referred to the HUD Multifamily division.	Administratively closed and referred to HUD.
11/18/2020	A HUD Certified Housing Counseling Agency is alleged to have employed an individual, previously convicted of defrauding individuals during mortgage transactions, who attempted to defraud a homeowner via a foreclosure rescue scam.	Allegation Not Substantiated

	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/15/2020	HUD-OIG received a referral from a law enforcement agency alleging that a real estate agent was self dealing Real Estate Owned and short sale transactions to companies that the real estate agent owned. The real estate agent masked their involvement as the realtor for the short sale transaction by using associates names as the listing and buying agent. Commissions from the purchase and resale of the property were diverted to the real estate agent instead of the listed realtors on the purchase contacts. By fraudulently purchasing Real Estate Owned and short sale properties and subsequently reselling them on the same day at a greater value, a loss was incurred by the Federal Housing Administration and other Government Sponsored Enterprises as the true sale price was never realized. The real estate agent was sentenced to 72 months probation and ordered to pay \$145,228.14 in restitution for his earlier guilty plea to 'False Statements on Loan Applications.'	Successful Prosecution
7/7/2021	According to an anonymous complaint, it is alleged that there are violations within the mortgage division of a bank in Louisville, Kentucky. It is further alleged that the violations include RESPA, Fair Lending, Regulation B, fraud for housing and fraud for profit.	Administratively closing, due to no fraud being uncovered relating to sampling of FHA loans.
3/30/2021	Confidential information received indicated that a company that had been contracted by a Public Housing Authority (PHA) had unusual withdrawals and fund transfers for which they could not establish the reasonability and seemed to be out of the pattern for a business engaged in Management, compliance and obtaining grants. Investigative efforts disclosed that the PHA awarded contracts to the company without following the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) procurement requirements set forth in 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. From November 7, 2017 through August 29, 2019, the PHA awarded to the company \$1,047,176.75 of HUD funds without following the appropriate procurement requirements. Also, the PHA disbursed to the company \$78,921.25 in HUD funds without having written agreements since the company began to work at the PHA in July 2017 and the first contract was signed on November 7, 2017. This matter was referred to the U.S. Attorney's Office for prosecutorial consideration and prosecution was declined.	Prosecution Declined
10/16/2020	HUD-OIG received allegations via telephone from another Law Enforcement Agency that a candidate for Justice Court Judge had used funds from the local housing authority to pay voters for their vote. HUD-OIG investigation determined that no federal funds from the HA was used in this scheme, HUD-OIG had no nexus. Subject was prosecuted on unrelated state charges.	Administratively closed. HUD fraud allegations were unsubstantiated. Subject was prosecuted by state charges on non-HUD related charges.
5/14/2021	Complainant alleges misconduct by housing authority leadership and false reporting to HUD, attributed to violations of federal government standards of ethics, and a pattern of fraud, waste, and abuse of position and housing authority resources. Specifically, the complainant alleged misuse of the Housing Authority vehicle policy, misuse of the federal funding for HUD programs; and the misuse of the Housing Authority credit card for food purchases during Housing Authority program meetings. A review of the Housing Authority's vehicle policy determined $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ did not violate the Housing Authority program provides grants to Public Housing Authorities (PHA's) to support the salaries and training needs and the purchase of staff dinners was an allowable expense. This case was presented to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO). The USAO concluded, based on the investigative findings, the USAO	Allegations unfounded.

 1/20/2021
 HUD Program offices raised concerns regarding falsified certifications and regulatory violations relative to [/h)(6):
 Administration facilities located in the Virginia and North Carolina regional area, pertaining to certain work at the properties that were certified as completed, but was not actually done and/or not done in compliance with HUD requirements. HUD DEC and the subject entered into a settlement agreement for Regulatory Agreement violations pursuant to FHA(b)(6):
 Administration for the properties of the

issued a case declination.

Administratively closed due to AUSA declining the case and HUD DEC completing a settlement agreement with the company.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
3/30/2021	Subject that is involved with the City of Columbus, MS administration contacted the Secret Service to discuss the matter. HUD-OIG was present during the call. Subject alleges that the city administrator is misusing funds received for disaster clean- up. Funds at this time is considered to be funding from HUD, City of Columbus Housing Authority. The investigation determined that there were no federal funds from The City of Columbus Housing Authority associated with the allegation. At this time, the review of the HA's financial records could not determine a nexus with the City of Columbus.	Administratively closed due to no HUD loss.
2/5/2021	It is alleged the manager accepts sexual favors and pills from tenants in lieu of those tenants being evicted for drug and bullying activity. It is further alleged the manager closes the office while engaging in sexual acts with the tenants. The activity is reported to have occurred in March 2018. Investigation revealed via a brief telephonic conversation with a tenant that she was subjected to inappropriate conduct and sexual harassment by the subject a few years ago, which included engaging in one physical sex act with the subject. The conduct also included the subject sending inappropriate photos to her cell phone. The tenant noted she no longer had the cell phone or photos. The tenant agreed to meet with the investigating agent in person to discuss the allegations in detail. Subsequent efforts to arrange such an interview met with negative results as multiple voicemail messages left for the tenant have not been acknowledged. Efforts to make contact with additional persons named in the complaint also met with negative results. This matter is being referred to FHEO for any consideration deemed appropriate.	No prosecutable merit.
6/30/2021	It was alleged that a Housing Authority $(b)(6)$: sole sourced all IT Support and IT supplies to one business without competition or a contract from at least January 2014 through October 2018. Additionally was reported that the $(b)(6)$: hired the same IT company to perform temporary roof repairs after the Housing Authority suffered damage from a tornado. It was revealed during this investigation, that the IT contractor did have a contract with the Housing Authority and was paid correctly for its services. Additionally, a disaster grant or any other emergency grant could not be located through any federal or state agency. A review of the $(b)(6)$: hour $(b)(6)(7)(7)$ personal bank accounts exhibited 55 Housing Authority checks totaling \$117,589.95 that were deposited into their checking and savings accounts that appeared to be outside of the normal pay schedule. The checks were found to be business travel reimbursements from the Housing Authority. It was found that $(b)(6)$: used their personal credit card for travel expenses and for and all housing authority board members and submitted travel vouchers appropriately for reimbursement.	Allegations unfounded
9/30/2021	According to information received by DOT OIG, it was alleged the $(h)(G)$ of a council in Middle Tennessee was committing fraud. This council is comprised of members from area municipalities and assists these governments with obtaining and managing grant funds from a variety of federal agencies. The initial complaint, which was made by $b(G)(G)(G)$ b(G)(G)(G)(G) stated the $b(G)(G)$ instructed them to falsely bill time towards grants and used council employee's electronic signatures without consent to sign invoices and possibly other documents. An investigation by the HUD OIG determined council does manage HUD-related funds, but no evidence was found indicating they were involved in any fraud. Since the DOT OIG case was still active the HUD OIG portion of the case was closed administratively.	Case Closed Administratively
11/17/2020	(b)(7)(E) Reporting person alleges that subjects committed fraud against HUD by purchasing HUD-Real Estate Owned (REO) Single-family residence . REO is designed to be purchased as an owner-occupant sale to single families, however, the allegations are that the subjects purchased several REO residence in the Nashville, TN area and renovated the homes for future sale or development for profit. The investigation determined that the subjects did violate the HUD-REO program. The subjects entered into a Civil Agreement to pay \$200,000 in civil penalties. HUD did not sustain a loss in this scheme.	Administratively closed due to allegations unsubstantiated.

Data Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
Date Closed 2/3/2021	Investigative Description HUD OIG opened a proactive case into a $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ of a Housing Authority after seeing a news article. The (h) created a non-profit entity for the Housing Authority. The non-profit and Housing Authority entered into a cost sharing agreement in which the non-profit was not fully reconciling monthly as the agreement stipulated. The non-profit and housing authority under $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ has entered into a repayment plan and is paying back the overdue expenses on a monthly basis. Case closed due to repayment plan.	Disposition Administratively closed due to the Housing Authority and the non-profit entering into a repayment agreement.
5/24/2021	In response to a series of negative news reports, a proactive investigation was opened to determine if procurement irregularities were caused by or were the result of personal benefit to the former Housing Authority ((h)(6)). The investigation did not produce any viable leads therefore case closed.	Allegations unfounded
11/2/2020	HUD OIG received a hotline complaint from (h)(6)· (h)(7)(C) alleging reprisal for making protected disclosures to the management of the housing authority. The investigation did not substantiate claims of reprisal and the case is closed without referring the matter to the Secretary.	Administratively Closed due to the investigation not substantiating claims of reprisal.
11/19/2020	Whistleblower complaint from (h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(Allegation Not Substantiated
3/30/2021	Investigation did not substantiate the allegations. During the course of the investigation (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported that she suspected Totally Free Inc. falsified its reporting of its counseled clients seeking home buying or home loan modification information. Interviews with former employees reported suspected wrong doing by the owner of the company. One employee reported she only became suspicious after another employee told her that her name was being used wrongfully. Record reviews by OIG did not identify indicators of fraud, waste, or abuse. Investigation discovered that the local HUD, Office of Counseling, was conducting a performance review during the course of the investigation. OIG determined that Totally Free Inc., was a grant subrecipient of the HUD grant recipient-National Community Reinvestment Coalition. The Housing Counseling Grant is not directly paid to Totally Free in advance, but is a reimbursable paid after Totally Free Inc., submits its claims to the grant recipient. OIG referred the case to the local HUD, Office of Counseling for further review and/or administrative action deemed necessary.	Case being referred to HUD to review Totally Free
5/7/2021	HUD-OIG SJU-FO received a complaint through the PR Commissioner of Financial Institutions from an individual who reported that $(h)(6)$: had made false statements to obtain a HECM loan for a property located in the municipality of Guaynabo, Puerto Rico. $(b)(6)$: wrote that the property was his primary residence on the loan application when allegations indicate he never lived there even though the property was registered under his name. $(b)(6)$: and $(b)(c)$: $(b)(6)$: (b)	Case administratively closed pending subject's sentencings
5/5/2021	The subject has been indicted and arrested by state law enforcement after the investigation revealed that he gained unauthorized access to HUD REO properties and falsely advertised the properties as being for For Sale by Owner . The subject accepted down-payments for the homes and executed contracts with numerous individuals. Florida judicial process is still ongoing.	Case Administratively Close pending judicial actions.

Date Closed	Investigative Description HUD-OIG received a referral from a law enforcement partner alleging that realtor used shell companies that he controlled, to place fraudulent liens on properties that he/she represented that were undergoing short sale. This investigation determined that with the use of the shell companies, the realtor did place misrepresented liens on properties that were undergoing short sale to draw additional short sale negation fees that would not have been otherwise authorized by the lender approving the short sale. As a result of the fraud, lenders (to include the FHA program, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the VA) were defrauded hundreds of thousands of dollars. Because the impact to the FHA program did not meet agency guidelines, this case will be administratively closed.	Disposition Case Administratively Closed and referred to DEC
2/17/2021	Information received from a state law enforcement agency indicated a board officer for a non-profit affiliate of a public housing authority, may have embezzled revenue of the non-profit, which was comprised primarily of rental income, both HUD-subsidized Section 8 and non-subsidized. It is additionally alleged the board member converted funds from the non-profit entity for his personal use, including purchase of a vehicle, a cell phone, and rental of a condominium. Early estimated losses were approximately \$100,000. The investigation revealed that the alleged conduct was not the cause or result of fraud against HUD or any of its programs. The investigation was closed as it showed there was no exposure or loss to HUD as a result of alleged conduct.	Administratively closed due to the theft not being from HUD funds.
10/28/2020		All judicial actions completed, referred for admin actions
5/4/2021	The investigation found that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ stole his identity in order to participant in the VASH program. Case was indicted by the MDFL - Theft of Govt Funds, Aggravated ID Theft. Subject in fugitive status unable to be located. Loss to HUD-VASH program determined to be \$18,285.	Case Administratively closed.
9/29/2021	Through investigative research, it appeared that a real estate investor was purchasing pre-foreclosure properties and then reselling the properties at a larger margin than what he acquired it for on the same day or shortly then after. The investigation determined that the investor with the help of a real estate agent, title agent, and a short sale facilitator structured the purchase and resale of short sale properties to use sale proceeds to fund the initial purchase; a violation of the short sale affidavit. As a result of the fraud, the investor, real estate agent, and title agent were sentenced to 5 years probation and ordered to pay \$139,243 in restitution (J&S). The short sale facilitator was sentenced to 3 years probation and ordered to pay \$139,243 in restitution (J&S).	Case successfully prosecuted.
10/16/2020	HUD-OIG received allegations from another law enforcement agency alleging a real estate investment company were using funds from the company's escrow account for personal enrichment. The same investment company was also paying kickbacks to a local housing corporation to steer contracts to the company. HUD-OIG's investigation into these allegations were unable to identify evidence of a crime.	Administratively Closed due to allegations being unsubstantiated.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
/20/2021	A local housing authority reported that an employee allegedly created fake landlords in the computer system. The employee	Administratively closing (b)(7)(E) and matter
	then had rent checks sent to these fake landlords. The employee cashed these checks and kept the money for personal gain.	has been referred to DEC for administrative action and $(b)(7)(b)$
	The employee was charged and arrested, but the prosecutor would not proceed with the case as charged. The matter is	(b)(7)(A)
	closed and referred to HUD program staff.	
/9/2021	This investigation was initiated based on a referral from the OIG Hotline. An anonymous complainant alleged the property	Administratively closed - allegations unsubstantiated and
	manager at a Section 8 subsidized multifamily apartment complex, was falsely reporting subsidized units as occupied by an	property manager was terminated.
	authorized tenant, but was actually renting the units to an unauthorized tenant and collecting cash rents for personal gain.	
	Investigation did not substantiate the allegations. The investigation however did determine that the management entity, HJ	
	Russell Company, did terminate the property manager in February 2018, based on a sequence of violations pertaining to	
	previous suspensions, gross misconduct, and the property manager's ineffective resolution of complaints lodge by site	
	employees.	
/14/2021	A referral from the HUD, OIG Hotline, alleged that a Housing Authority (HA) (h)(h) is misappropriating funds and	Case declined and SA referred to HUD for administrative
	violating administrative policies. The allegation further alleges that the HA is comingling funds. HUD, OIG initiated an	action.
	investigation to investigate this allegation. The investigation revealed questionable purchases that were not allowable. The	
	investigation also revealed $(h)(h)(h)(f)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)$	
	U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Georgia declined to prosecute. Subsequently, the case was referred to the	
	Departmental Enforcement Center for a Program Fraud Civil and Remedies Act.	
/2/2021	This investigation was initiated based on a report from a Public Housing Authority Complainant reporting a Corporate Realty	All judicial actions completed and referred for administrative
	company participated in a component of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program known as HUD-VASH (Veterans	actions
	Assisted Supportive Housing) and the Veterans Affairs-SSVF program (Supportive Services for Veteran Families) administered	
	by a local Atlanta non-profit and the Housing Authority. The investigation disclosed the (h)/6 of the corporate realty	
	received vouchers from the Department of VA and HUD funded subsidies intended to support HOMELESS VETERANS with	
	housing. According to the Information between October 2014-November 2015, the corporate realty (h)(A and	
	coconspirator who was a (h)(h) at a non-profit organization, worked together to embezzle approximately \$124,000	
	of program funds of which approximately \$2,844 was HUD VASH funding, a component of HUD's HCV program. To execute	
	the scheme, the $(b)(6)$; would steer veterans who sought VA program assistance to the corporate realty $(b)(6)$. The	
	(h)(6) would prepare vouchers to initiate the process by which the non-profit organization would issue a check to	
	business entities owned and operated by corporate realty $(h)(6)$ The corporate realty $(b)(6)$ would identify a rental	
	property for the homeless veterans and provide leasing documents back to the (h)(6). The (h)(6). would	
	input fraudulent information on the non-profit's vouchers to inflate security deposits and monthly rent amounts so that the	
	checks going to the corporate realty (h)(6) on behalf of the veterans would be inflated. In addition, the corporate realty	
	(h)(A fraudulently applied to be a participant in the HCV program as a qualified landlord with a local Housing Authority. The	
	corporate realty (h)/A misrepresented to the housing authority that her realty company had entered into a property	
	management agreement with the actual ownership entity of multiple apartment complexes in the metro Atlanta area.	
	Instead of using the HUD and VA funds to make the appropriate rental payments on behalf of the veterans, the conspirators	
	embezzled the program funds causing severe hardship to veterans seeking assistance. Both conspirators were sentenced and	
	ordered to serve between 12 months and 21 months confinement, 3 years supervised release, and ordered to pay restitution	
	of \$112,202.90 (joint and several).	
12/2021	HUD OIG received a complain <u>t that a(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)</u> was misusing Housing Authority funds for personal use.	Administratively closed due to $(b)(7)(F)$ and (b)

2/12/2021		Administratively closed due to (h)(7)	(F) and (b)(7)
	Investigation revealed that a $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ misused the housing authorities funds for personal usage. The $(h)(6)$	(h)(7)(Δ) (ł)(7)(F)
		(h)(7)(F)	(b) was terminated and
	personal use. Due to $(h)(7)(F)$ and $(b)(7)(A)$ the case is being administratively closed. The defendant has	referred to DEC.	
	been referred to HUD for administrative action.		

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
2/22/2021	It was alleged that a business was purchasing title to distressed properties at Home Owner Association foreclosures, placing tenants in the properties, and collecting rent while not making payments to the mortgage companies. This case was found to	Case administratively closed
	parallel a separate HUD-OIG investigation that shares the same scheme, subjects, and witnesses. This case is administratively closed.	
8/16/2021	A referral from HUD Program alleged fraud and theft from a public housing $(b)(6)$. The allegation further alleged the	
	(b)(6): embezzled rental payments from tenants residing in public housing. HUD, OIG initiated an investigation to investigate this allegation.	declination from AUSA. Subject was referred to DEC for Debarment and $(b)(7)(A)$
1/12/2021	This investigation was initiated based on a referral from the Office of Multifamily Housing reporting suspicious activity relative to the participant's project-based Section 8 budget-based rent increase requests. The Office of Multifamily Housing staff observed a peculiar trend revolving around the participant's budget submissions to the government, in connection with the participant's request to increase monthly reserve for replacement deposits. Upon initial inquiry, and based on the concern of the referring program office staff, OIG determined that a review of the participants Reserve for Replacement withdrawal activities should be reviewed for evidence of fraud, waste, and abuse. Investigation did not uncover or substantiate criminal violations of fraud, waste, or abuse concerning the multifamily housing programs.	Administratively closed due to allegations unsubstantiated.
11/5/2020	An investigation was initiated based on local news articles alleging fraudulent expenditures of federal funds by a housing authority affiliated with the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians. Allegations included questionable expenditures involving specialized loan programs by the Tribe. Loans were identified which contained alleged false statements and/or were underwritten outside of tribal guidelines. The alleged fraudulent loans occurred outside of statute of limitations and were identified as sourced by non-federal funds. The USAO declined to prosecute identified subjects.	Administratively closed due to $(b)(7)(E)$
1/11/2021	(b)(7)(A); (b)(7)(E)	Þ.
3/1/2021	HUD/OIG received information from HUD/QAD/Atlanta reporting that one of HUD's FHA approved lenders has self-reported that one of their(b)(6): in the Naples, FL area has falsified bank statements and employment data on approximately 50 loans. The(b)(6): admitted it and was terminated. According to the lender (and NMLS reflects the same), this(b)(6): (b)(6): admitted it and was terminated. According to the lender (and NMLS reflects the same), this(b)(6): (b)(6): and at least one (b)(6): involved. It was alleged that the(b)(6): did not act alone and there is a(b)((b)(G): and at least one (b)(6): involved. It was further alleged that in many of the cases, the borrowers are complicit. Investigation disclosed that the subject(b)(6); at reporting lender (Lender A), altered or falsified bank statements provided by the borrowers on approximately 44 loans; s/he originated approximately 100 loans that closed at Lender A between April 2016 and September 2017. The loans that contained falsified documents have since been indemnified, through lender self-reporting, and loan officer was LDP'd by HUD in August 2018. Immediately after (b)(6): was terminated by Lender A in August 2017, he went to work at Lender B, wherein s/he and (b)(6): were(b)(6): and originated approximately 28 FHA insured mortgages. A review of bank statements in approximately 14 of the associated FHA mortgages compared to bank statements received from the respective banks, via grand jury, indicated that they were the same. Therefore, there did not appear to be any false statements, relative to bank statements, in the Lender B loans. In light of the above, the(b)(7)(E) and due to the fact that(b)(5).	Administratively closed due to (b)(7)(E) (b)(7) (b)(7)(A)

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
4/20/2021	(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) met with Law Enforcement agents to disclose an alleged mortgage origination	Administratively closing case $(b)(7)(E)$
	fraud at IBERIABANK. According to(b)(several FHA-insured and conventional loans originated by an IBERIABANK loan	(b)(7)(A)
	originator fell into default status after one or a few a payments made by the borrowers or someone related to the	
	borrowers. Investigation disclosed revealed that as early as January 2016 and continuing through at least May 2018, (b)(6):	
	(b)(6): with the assistance of the others, recruited and assisted borrowers, to fraudulently obtain mortgage loans from	
	IBERIABANK. (b)(6): and others provided false verification of employment forms, false bank statements, false pay	
	stubs, and other false financial documentation to the borrowers to give to IBERIABANK. This false information and	
	documentation created the appearance that the borrowers qualified to obtain an FHA-insured loan and/or a conventional	
	loan. The findings of the alleged criminal wrongdoings were referred to the U.S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of	
	Florida, for prosecutorial consideration. The case was accepted and it was worked with the Federal Housing Finance Agency-	
	Office of Inspector General, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System-Office of Inspector General, United States	
	Secret Service, and the Florida Department of Financial Regulation. The aforementioned agencies will continue to work the	
	investigation; however $(b)(7)(E)$ the case will be administratively closed.	
2/18/2021	The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General received a referral from a federal law	Administratively closed due to allegations being
2/10/2021	enforcement agency alleging that an employee of a Housing Authority utilized government funds to purchase construction	unsubstantiated.
	equipment, air conditioners and additional items for properties under the Housing entity. The complaint further alleged an	
	employee included excess supplies on the invoices submitted to the Housing Authority which were not allowable and	
	utilized government employees to do work for his private company while on official time. The investigation determined the	
	employee submitted invoices which included additional supplies for apartment complexes to a Housing Authority employee.	
	While reviewing the documentation, it was detected by the Housing Authority employee , thus resulting in the employee not	
	being compensated for the unallowable excess supplies. Based on the aforementioned information, the case is closed.	
3/30/2021	An article by a Memphis-area news station stated that a local company, which assisted homeless individuals obtain housing,	Declined Prosecution
	had potentially committed fraud because eviction notices were received by numerous individuals who had received	
	assistance. The company worked as a liaison between rental properties and a Memphis non-profit that provides HUD grant	
	money to assist the homeless. The company allegedly received funds from the nonprofit, which were to be used as rent	
	payments, but this money was never paid to the rental property. Based upon this information, an investigation was opened	
	by the HUD OIG. The findings of this investigation were presented to the United State's Attorney's Office, who declined prosecution of the case.	
5/4/2021	This case was initiated by pro-active measures and was part of the HUD-OIG National Nursing Home Initiative. Investigative	Case Administratively Closed
5,4,2021	research reveled that a nursing home $(b)(6)$ made an unauthorized distribution to a loan affiliate, unauthorized distributions	case Administratively closed
	of cash, and that financial statements were not in accordance with program requirements with a miscalculation of surplus	
	cash. It was determined that the nursing home $\frac{(b)}{6}$ made a cash advance from the nursing homes operating account to an	
	affiliate with out repayment; a vehicle that was listed as an asset was sold, and the proceeds were never deposited back into	
	the operating account; and that the nursing home (h)(6) purchased a personal luxury vehicle from the nursing homes	
	operating account, a violation of the regulatory agreement. Because the impact of this case did not meet agency guidelines,	
	this case will be administratively closed.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
6/2/2021	Investigation did not substantiate evidence of Fraud, Waste, and/or Abuse by Travelers Aid of Metro Atlanta, Inc (TAMA), dba HOPE Atlanta. The complainant reported that they suspected TAMA and $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ falsely reporting its grant expenditures pertaining to the Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) program grant, with regard to employee time sheets and other day to day operations. According to the complainant, they were informed by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that the organization would enter all employees into ADP as 40 hours/week and then reconcile it against paid time off (PTO). According to the complainant, time sheets were being created for staff signature to match the amount of time to be billed to a particular grant versus an actual employee time sheet being used to determine how much should actually be billed to the grant. During an interview with the former employees, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) both employees told the OIG that they never signed or was compelled to sign an inaccurate or falsified time sheet. Record reviews did not identify indicators of fraud, waste, and/or abuse of the HOPWA program grant. Travelers Aid of Metropolitan Atlanta, Inc is a non-profit social services organization that is a subrecipient through the City of Atlanta HOPWA grant funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.	Administratively closed due to allegation unsubstantiated and HUD monitoring.
11/20/2020	(b)(7)(A) The Office of the Chief Procurement Officer learned that variations of the Chief Procurement Officer's government email address, was being used by fraudsters as phishing attempts to obtain high-value merchandise, such as computers, audio/video equipment, and solar panels. The fraudsters used counterfeit HUD forms in their attempt to fool vendors into submitting price quotes. Subsequently a counterfeit purchase order is produced by the fraudster and issued to the vendors, who believed that they had a legitimate purchase order from a government agency. The cyber fraud has targeted HUD's Office of the Chief Procurement Officer and other Federal, State, and Local government agencies. Multiple arrest have been made in the Northern District of Georgia (Region 4/Atlanta) by other Federal Law Enforcement partners in connection to the cyber fraud activities. The OCPO & OIG hotline continues to receive recurring complaints relative to the these fraud activities. Region 4/Atlant OI has uncovered five domains impersonating HUD that gives the recipient of the bid request, the impression that HUD is the source of the RFPs. The investigation is actively pursuing leads and using modern investigative techniques in coordination with other Federal Law Enforcement Agencies to advance the investigation.	Administratively closed due to no HUD loss and (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(A)
6/25/2021	A Public Housing Authority reported to HUD that they were victims of a fraudulent wire scheme. A former employee allegedly wired \$478,355.14, in a one time payment, to an account suspected to be an existing vendor's account. The account did not belong to the vendor. The account allegedly belonged to a fraudulent 3rd party. Note: Complaint $(b)(7)(E)$ was previously opened and closed. Case has been re-opened for further investigation. The investigation did not reveal evidence to support the allegations against the subject. Prosecution was declined. No further investigation is warranted.	Prosecution Declined
5/13/2021	In September 2018, a Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) administrator alleged it may have been a victim of spoofed e- mails. The emails caused the administrator to process a change to a landlord's bank account for automatic deposits. Subsequently, the administrator made two payments totaling \$8,409 to the new bank account. The landlord later notified the administrator that it did not make such a change to its bank account. Investigation revealed the funds sent by the administrator were sent to a bank account that was opened using the Personally Identifiable Information (PII) of an identity theft victim. The funds were withdrawn through ATM and Point-of-Sale transactions in a short period of time in the Atlanta, GA area. Investigation was unable identify the person(s) engaged in the financial transactions or the person(s) responsible for sending the emails that caused the administrator to change the landlord's bank account. The investigation was closed as (b)(7)(A)	Case Administratively close at the request of the SAC.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
6/25/2021	It is alleged that a COC provider conducted possible fraudulent activities. HUD discovered the alleged fraudulent activity	Allegations Not Substantiated
	during a monitoring review. It is further alleged that a substantial lack of internal controls and fiscal oversight of federal	-
	grant funds exist, including improper payments, and non-compliance with programmatic guidelines of the awarded grants.	
	The investigation did not reveal evidence to support the aforementioned allegations. No further investigation is warranted.	
	The case will be closed.	
2/18/2021	This matter was opened as a result of conducting proactive outreach with the Florida Department of Law Enforcement	Administratively closed due to $(b)(7)(F)$ and $(b)(7)(F)$
	(FDLE). The law offices of a Florida licensed attorney were searched by FDLE based on several complaints by home owners	(b)(7)(A)
	who alleged that they were duped into transferring their ownership rights to their properties to an unrelated investor, but in	
	fact were transferring their properties to $(b)(6)$; without their knowledge. Once transferred, $(b)(6)$: would	
	collect rents and not pay any debt service on the existing mortgages. (b)(6): would represent to(h)(6): that	
	s/he would attempt to negotiate with $(b)(6)$: banks in order to modify their mortgages when in fact s/he did nothing for	
	(b)(6): Preliminary investigation on the matter identified several FHA insured properties that were obtained by the	
	(h)(6) through their $(b)(6)$; Investigation determined that $(h)(6)$ purchased numerous properties from his/her	
	(h)(f) Most concerning was that (b)(f): would introduce companies that s/he owned to (h)(f): as third-party	
	investors and failed to advise (h)(g). that s/he owned the "investment" companies. (h)(g). would purchase	
	"shelf" corporations from New Mexico in order to shield their ownership from said companies. Upon purchasing the	
	properties from (b)(6): for low monetary amounts s/he would rent the properties and collect as much rent as	
	possible without making any payments towards the mortgages, insurance, or real estate taxes.(h)(6)· would	
	sometimes continue the foreclosure defense on behalf of $(b)(6)$; without their consent or knowledge. The	
	(b)(6): business model appears to fit the elements to Single Family Equity Skimming: 12 U.S. Code § 1709–2 - Equity	
	skimming. This matter is being closed due to((b)(7)(E) and the(b)(7)(E)	
	(b)(7)(E)	
2/17/2021	The U.S. Trustee's Office for the Southern District of Florida advised that a local investment company was willing to purchase	
	an encumbered property for \$12,500; the U.S. Trustee's Office could not understand why an investor would want to	and $(h)(7)(A)$

this matter is being closed in HUD/OIG files.

purchase an encumbered property. HUD/OIG conducted several public website searches and determined that the investment company had purchased hundreds of properties throughout the Middle and Southern Districts of Florida for rental purposes. Further research indicated that there were multiple FHA insured properties that had been purchased by the investment company. Neighborhood Watch indicated that all of the FHA insured properties were in either Claim status or in default. Investigation determined that owners of the investment company purchased numerous properties through trustee sales, homeowner association foreclosure auctions as well as directly from distressed homeowners. Upon purchasing the properties for low monetary amounts, they would rent the properties and collect as much rents as possible without making any payments towards the mortgages, insurance and real estate taxes. The owner's business model appears to fit all of the elements to Single Family Equity Skimming: 12 U.S. Code § 1709–2 - Equity skimming; This matter was presented and accepted for prosecution at the State Attorney General's Office- Office of the Statewide Prosecutor. The prosecutor assigned

to this matter is also the prosecutor in another HUD/OIG case (b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

(b)(7)(E)

Data Class	Investigative Description	Dispecition
<u>Date Closed</u> 5/14/2021	Investigative Description The Complainant advised during a client quality of life home visit at an apartment complex located in Mauldin, South Carolina. The complainant realized her client was not a tenant and was unauthorized to live in the apartment unit. An investigation of the apartment complex revealed the Tenant moved to Buffalo, NY with out giving the apartment complex proper notification of the tenant's intent to vacate the property. An interview were conducted of property management and Housing Authority and $(b)(6)$: According to the information collected, the housing assistance payments were made payable to the apartment complex for the tenant. Therefore, it was determined the apartment complex did not follow proper protocol regarding apartment unit and tenant inspections. The USAO recommended a case declination for this case and the Apartment community. The USAO recommended this case be referred to HUD program and a warning be sent to the apartment management.	Disposition Case Declined
1/11/2021	Assistance from HUD OIG requested by FBI Atlanta field office. Real estate professionals recruited straw buyers, produced fraudulent documents and provided false verification of employments in order to obtain FHA insured and conventional mortgages for the purpose of flipping properties for profit. Four defendants were charged for their role in the case. Two defendants have been sentenced. Two defendants are fugitives alleged to be out of the country.	Administratively closing case, 2 subjects have been sentenced and two are fugitives outside the country. They are flagged with immigrations, case will be reopened if they return to US.
4/29/2021	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Investigation determined allegations to be unsubstantiated. Therefore investigation will be administratively closed.
4/29/2021	The City of East Cleveland receives over 1 million dollars from HUD in the fiscal years from 2017-2020. The FBI and HUD/OIG have developed several leads regarding corruption and fraud within different departments within the City.	No HUD nexus uncovered as part of this investigation. Therefore, investigation will be administrative closed.
10/19/2020	(b)(6) allegedly submitted fraudulent documents to obtain an FHA insured mortgage for the property located at (b)(Prosecution Declined.
9/7/2021	$\frac{(b)(6)\cdot (b)(7)(C)}{(b)(6)\cdot (b)(6)\cdot (b)(6)\cdot$	Declined for prosecution.
7/22/2021	A number of people appear to be working together to arrange buyers for properties the people own through proxies. The sellers are providing the gift funds for the transactions, then receiving proceeds when the sale closes. They are FHA insured multi-unit properties with gift funds from the same giftor and real estate agent. The real estate agent often represents both sides of the transaction. It was the same loan officer originating the loans.	Case was declined by the USAO. No further action is warranted. Close Investigation.
5/10/2021	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	No evidence of criminal activity.
12/15/2020	A referral from a known complainant alleged an FHA borrower failed to report (b)(6); income on loan modification	Prosecution Declined

documents and received a loan modification they would not have otherwise been eligible to receive.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/2/2020	It is alleged by HUD officials that $(b)(6)$: Construction, $Inc(b)(6)$: located in Cairo, IL, has obtained a large amount of diverse contracts from the Alexander County Housing Authority (ACHA). $(b)(6)$: then subcontracts the work out. In several cases, $(b)(6)$: is the sole bidder for the contract. HUD officials allege that in some cases, the subcontractors would have been able to contract the work themselves.	After further investigation, this case will now be closed. The (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) In addition, the ACHA had been recently investigated and the allegations may have been provided to the OIG without proper review. No information obtained in the investigation indicated that (b)(6); did not perform the work he was contracted to do. Further, information obtained from HUD identified only one ACHA contract which (b)(6): then obtained subcontractors to perform. If more credible information is received, this office will review the information at that time.
8/16/2021		No HUD nexus.
11/20/2020	It is alleged that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ and $(b)(6)$: conspired to purchase a HUD REO property during the owner/occupant bidding phase by having $(b)(6)$: pose as an owner occupant and purchase the residence with funds provided by $(b)(6)$. Flint PD has conducted a preliminary inquiry revealing that $(b)(6)$: never intended to reside in the residence and that it has been serving as a rental property since it was purchased.	This is a duplicate entry. Please refer to $(h)(7)(F)$ as it pertains to these allegations. Investigation was declined for prosecution on 09/13/2016.
11/24/2020	It is alleged that $(b)(6); (b)(7)(\mathbb{C})$ has numerous diagnoses that include schizophrenia, psychosis, and moderate intellectual disability took advantage o(<u>b)(6)</u> death. It is alleged that <u>(b)(6)</u> ; took control of <u>(b)(6)</u> finances and personal affairs. Subsequently, <u>(b)(6)</u> ; allegedly induced <u>(b)(7)</u> into applying for and accepting a reverse mortgage. <u>(b)(6)</u> then allegedly used the proceeds from the reverse mortgage for his own personal gain.	The subject in this case has been convicted and sentenced. No further investigative action is warranted at this time.
11/20/2020		This is a duplicate entry. Please refer to $(b)(7)(E)$ as it pertains to these allegations. Investigation was closed as the (b)(7)(E)
9/7/2021	Ohio Attorney General Division of Consumer Protection Investigator $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$: emailed and called $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$; to report allegations of FHA loan origination fraud being perpetrated by $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$; $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$. Specifically, Ohio Adult Parole completed an unannounced home visit at $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$: residence and recovered a gun, computers and technology devices, and misc. documents, including a receipt book with know alias names for $(\underline{b})(\underline{6}) \cdot (\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ ran the names and addresses listed in the receipt book via $(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{E})$ and located several properties with FHA loans through PNC bank and others. It appears $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$: was the selling broker on several HUD REO properties, doing so under broker, $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$. All loans initially identified are performing, but $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$ reported fraudulent documents may have been used and kickbacks paid to $(\underline{b})(\underline{6})$ is currently writing a search warrant for the computer(s) and other tech equipment.	Administratively Closed.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
6/28/2021	HUD-OIG received a written complaint from (b)(6): alleging that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sought to unlawfully and fraudulently obtain payments from the Government and GSEs by submitting to its bank-mortgagee clients fraudulent and grossly excessive bids for its property preservation and related services, which were then presented to HUD and/or other Government entities for reimbursement.	Lack of evidence of criminal activity.
9/27/2021	This investigation was predicated upon a request for assistance from the Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority (CMHA) Police Department (PD) to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Inspector General (OIG). CMHA PD reported that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ a CMHA Public Housing tenant residing at $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ OH has been named a suspect by the Cleveland PD and Bureau of Criminal Investigation in an alleged Facebook scam defrauding hundreds of individuals across the U.S. and Canada for more than \$200,000.	Successful prosecution.
3/24/2021	An anonymous referral to the HUD OIG Hotline alleged a location housing authority (h)(h) is purchasing music equipment using the housing authority credit card and box store vendor. Further, it is alleged the (h)(h) works a 10-hour work week while earning over \$100,000 in salary and benefits.	Successful prosecution
11/25/2020	This office is in receipt of information that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Aurora Housing Authority (AHA) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Northern Lights Development Corporation (NLDC) that is alleged to have received contracts from the AHA. Also, it is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) monetary awards were awarded and approximately \$31,000 in costs were paid on the AHA credit card for this event.	This investigation did not rise to the level of criminal prosecution. After multiple interviews and review of documents, the various allegations made in the audit report contain violations that are more aligned to general mismanagement on behalf of the $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ but are not criminal in nature. In addition, staff at the Aurora Housing Authority (AHA) could not provide enough information relative to alleged contracts executed between the AHA and NLDC. Should new information arise, this office will review the allegations.
6/30/2021	An investigation was initiated by HUD-OIG, FBI, and USPIS based upon information reported to the USAO relative to a non- profit organization that provides a variety of services to the community through various state and federal grant programs, including transitional and permanent subsidized housing to individuals and families in need that are experiencing homelessness. In March 2017, a co-worker informed a $\underline{(h)(h)(7)(C)}$ that the subject $\underline{(b)(6)}$: $\underline{(b)(7)(C)}$ (b)(6): was engaged in a scheme to defraud the non-profit by creating "straw landlords" to facilitate the issuance of fraudulent rent checks. Investigation revealed the subject was the leader of a scheme involving two other employees and 10 fictitious landlords to defraud the organization of at least \$684,081.90 in charitable funds intended to help the homeless. For nearly 7 years, the co-conspirators devised and participated in the scheme by recruiting other employees of the non- profit, as well as friends, family members, and associates to pose as landlords and vendors, causing the non-profit to make direct payments to the fictitious landlords and vendors, who then provided the lead subject $\underline{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}$ with monetary kickbacks. The 13 subjects were collectively sentenced in U.S. District court to 10 years incarceration, 32 years supervised release, 1,125 hours community service, and ordered to pay \$684,081.90 in restitution jointly and severally to the non-profit.	All judicial actions complete. No further action is warranted. Close investigation.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
5/11/2021		This case was declined for prosecution. No further investigative information is warranted at this time.
	paid $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ (a subcontractor for MCHA) with MCHA funds directly as opposed to $(b)(c)$ being paid from a general contractor. It is also alleged that $(b)(6)$ stopped collecting tenant rent payments resulting in \$244,000 worth of tenant rent portions owed to the MCHA. HUD also alleged that $(b)(6)$ allowed a non MCHA tenant family to live in MCHA public housing without any documentation at the MCHA.	1
3/5/2021	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Winnebago County Housing Authority met with $(h)(6)$: to discuss various Section 8 fraud cases involving tenant and landlord fraud. Numerous tenants have <u>under reported</u> or not reported any income at all while completing the recertification paper. Section 8 landlord $(b)(6)$: is living with $(b)(6)$: a Section 8 tenant and $(h)(6)$. is the owner of Preferred Roofing. $(b)(6)$: owns several rental properties and is receiving Section 8 payments as a Section 8 landlord from the Winnebago County Housing Authority. These cases will be packaged up and presented to the Winnebago County State's Attorney.	All judicial actions complete for $(b)(6)$ Any additional charges for additional subjects will be captured under a new case number when it is generated. No further action is warranted. Close investigation.
10/1/2020	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with (b)(6); and (b)(6); and with (b)(6); and (b)(6); and advised of ongoing litigation in which HUD was named in a lawsuit by the City of Cincinnati regarding the poor condition of PE Alms Realty. As part of the conversations held between parties, (b)(6); of PE Alms Realty, (b)(6); claims an embezzlement of more than \$30,000 by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	Declined. Additional allegations brought forth initially investigated under this case number but ultimately a new case was jacketed for further inquire of those allegations.
9/14/2021	Our office is in receipt of a complaint involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The complaint was made by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the property management company for an apartment complex (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The complainants alleged that (b)(6) through (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) created a cash flow note in the amount of approximately, \$2.5 million that is reflected on the financial statements of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when in reality, the \$2.5 million loan does not exist. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when in reality, the \$2.5 million loan does not exist. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when in reality, the \$2.5 million loan does not exist. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when in reality, the \$2.5 million loan does not exist. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when in reality, the \$2.5 million loan does not exist. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been paying interest on the loan since 2006. In addition, (b)(A)(A) have been receiving partnership distributions in excess of their limited contribution condition as stated in their Housing Assistant Payment contract with HUD.	Allegations were unsubstantiated in this investigation. Case was therefore not presented for prosecution for a declination. No further action is warranted. Close Investigation.
6/21/2021	It is alleged that former attorney and convicted Felon(h)(f)) has been awarded contracts by the City of Gary for the Senior Repair Program. The Senior Repair Program is funded by HUD from Community Block Grant Money. (h)(f) company (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is allegedly performing subpar work and not completing all the work stipulated in the contracts. The FBI Merrillville initiated the investigation and opened the case with the U.S. Attorney's Office Northern District of Indiana. The FBI and U.S Attorney's Office Requested HUD-OIG's assistance on the case.	The case was declined for prosecution. No further investigative action is warranted at this time.
3/1/2021	During a records review, the DuPage County Housing Authority Staff, discovered Section 8 tenant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was still assigned to the Washington County Housing Authority (St. Paul Minnesota) within HUD's PIC system. DuPage County contacted Washington County and discovered House has been receiving double housing assistance for addresses in Minnesota and Illinois since 2013.	All judicial actions complete for $(b)(6)$: and administrative recoveries for $(b)(6)$; Any additional charges and will be captured under a new case number $(b)(7)(E)$. Close investigation.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
9/16/2021	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Central City Integrated Health (CCIH), a non-profit service agency located in Detroit, MI, is allegedly embezzling funds and dealing drugs out of CCIH-owned housing. As a result of this embezzlement, CCIH is in a roughly \$2.5 million deficit. CCIH has bounced payroll checks and checks payable to landlords that own housing used in CCIH's HUD-funded supportive housing program, and insurance companies have cancelled CCIH policies due to non-payment. (h)(f) allegedly carries a concealed weapon and is dealing heroin out of an apartment owned by CCIH. (b)(f) allegedly leases a CCIH-owned parking lot to a nearby gentlemen's club, for for for personal use. (h)(f) allegedly is having an extra-marital affair with his secretary.	Case declined for prosecution.
12/22/2020		All judicial action complete.
3/31/2021	Multiple news outlets in the Metro Detroit area have been examining the manner in which funds were provided to grantees of the Motor City Match program. Specifically grantees that received multiple awards, grantees who are related to public officials, and grantees who appear accepted the funds but did not follow through with expectations.	Case declined for prosecution. A Detroit OIG Audit did reveal significant expenditures that do not comply with CPD guidelines. CPD will have an opportunity to disallow many of the expenditures.
11/24/2020	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Woodlawn Community Development Corporation (WCDC), which filed Chapter 11 Bankruptcy in October, 2018. WCDC has a contract with the Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) to manage CHA housing projects. It is alleged that CHA pays WCDC funds to cover payroll expenses of WCDC employees to include payroll taxes. A declaration filed by(<u>h)(A)</u> during the bankruptcy proceedings indicates that WCDC has a \$1.8 Million payroll tax liability. (<u>h)(A)</u> allegedly declared that management was completely surprised by these unpaid tax liabilities. Later, <u>(h)(A)</u> allegedly stated during a continued first meeting of creditors that he instructed WCDC's payroll processor Infinity to no longer make payroll tax payments as WCDC would be making those payments. <u>(h)(A)</u> further stated that he diverted \$150,000 of the payroll tax funds to stall the foreclosure of a property owned by WCDC. This investigation will determine if the conflicting statements made by(<u>(b)(6)</u> meet the elements of bankruptcy fraud, and whether the diversion of CHA funds to be used to cover payroll tax constitute any criminal violations.	The subject in this case died unexpectedly. The case was subsequently declined by the USAO. No further investigative action is warranted at this time.
6/8/2021	HUD-Detroit PIH $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ recently conducted a financial review of the Sturgis Housing Commission due to possible insolvency issues. During the review, $(b)(6)$: found Commission checks paid to Capital One, an unknown and unapproved vendor, and were signed by $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ in violation of the dual-signatory policy requiring a Board member signature. Most expenses are not supported with invoices. $(b)(6)$ has not deposited his paychecks in over nine months. The $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ was not cooperative during the financial review. The Commission's sole public housing complex is in disrepair and the Capital Funds are not being used. A Peer to Peer analysis shows the Commission is spending a disproportionate amount in certain expense categories compared to other housing authorities. The Commission's Board fired $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ An $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ the (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) the Coldwater Housing Commission, is now under contract. The $(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)$ is cooperative.	Investigation declined for prosecution. Lack of evidence of criminal action.
6/9/2021	The HUD-OIG Indianapolis Field Office and the Environmental Protection Agency Criminal Investigation Division will review complaints, proactively investigate and attempt to identify instances of violations of environmental laws and regulations in HUD assisted housing. The investigators will cooperate and work with state agencies including the Indiana Department of	No evidence of criminal actions. Administratively closed.

Environmental Management (IDEM), Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH), and the Office of Indiana State Chemists (OISC) to identify instances related to Lead Based Paint violations, Asbestos, and Illegal Pesticide use in HUD housing. Possible criminal cases will be presented to the Assistant United States Attorney working with the Environmental Crimes

Task force.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
9/22/2021	The complainant is alleging ongoing non-cooperation, retaliation, intimidation, official misconduct, unethical behavior, and mismanagement of HUD grant funds by a Cook County Deputy Director and a Project Manager. According to the Ford Heights Coop, this project involves approximately \$10 million dollars and has been put on hold by Cook County for eight	Case was shut down (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); OIIG-CC concurred to close investigation. No further action is warranted. Close investigation.
3/10/2021	months. This office is in receipt of information from $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ in which it is alleged that Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ has been involved in numerous violations of the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 C.F.R Part 2635 ("Standards") and the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of HUD ("Supplemental Standards"), 5 C.F.R. Part 7501,	OIG referred the results of the investigation to OGC for their review and subsequent action they deemed appropriate. A proposal was made for $(h)(h)$ be suspended for a period of ten (10) calendar days. The proposal was based on the
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	p
L	Department's pro bono policy as her OGE 450 indicated that she was operating an outside law office. Once again in 2016, Regional Counsel had concerns regarding (L)(A)- ubmission of OGE 450 forms and the lack of supporting documentation her activities outside of HUD employment. (L)(A) was alleged to be serving on the board (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which were in direct violation of the pro bono policy and the Standards	
3/2/2021	A referral from the Hotline alleged a former employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was terminated after notifying (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Was terminated after purpose of passing a HUD audit. The complainant said HR terminated the claiming the complainant lied.	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) withdrew complaint. No further investigation required.
12/16/2020	A referral from the Hotline alleged a former employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was terminated after notifying (b)(6); HR that the employee was instructed to backdate Housing Quality Standards (HQS) inspections for the purpose of passing a HUD audit. The complainant said HR terminated the claiming the complainant lied.	Prosecution declined
10/1/2020	IRS-CI made a referral for potential fraud within the housing choice voucher program. According to (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) he believes that the recipients may be claiming one income to HUD/CMHA to qualify for housing subsidies and a different income to IRS to obtain earned income credits. The subjects are all using the same accountant to file their taxes.	Successful prosecution
5/20/2021	This office is in receipt of information alleging that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ devised a scheme to purchase $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ from $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ of no relation to $(b)(6)$: through the recruiting of a Straw Purchaser identified as $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ successfully obtained an FHA Mortgage on the property, which sold for \$90,000. $(b)(6)$ income and employment information appear to be falsely stated on the URLA. Additionally, $(b)(6)$: purchased 2 other properties from $(b)(6)$; for cash.	(b)(7)(E)
		(b)(7)(E) The MCDAO declined to prosecute the case. Applicable State of Wisconsin criminal statutes are outside the statute of limitations. Unrelated to HUD OIG's investigation, (b)(6) was charged and sentenced in 2017 for violations of 18 USC 2706 and 26 USC 286, with respect to her involvement in

USC 2706 and 26 USC 286, with respect to her involvem a federal tax scheme.

Data Classed	Investigative Description	Disposition
10/13/2020	Investigative Description Chase Home Finance LLC reported to the Atlanta HOC that the borrower, $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$, may have committed loan	Disposition The subjects in this case have been convicted and sentenced.
10/13/2020		No longer investigative action is warranted at this time.
	a loan re-verification and found (h)(h) had undisclosed debt and had misrepresented his occupancy. The property address	No longer investigative action is warranted at this time.
	is $(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)$ - an area that has been identified as a high-risk mortgage fraud area. A search in	
	deeds of the seller, Spearman Group LLC, has shown multiple properties being sold in the same area for over \$350,000, many	
	of which have foreclosed.	
2/25/2021	On September 13, 2010(b)(6); was arrested as part of the undercover operation entitled Operation(h)(6):	All judicial actions complete. Evidence disposed of. No further
2/23/2021	(b)(7)(E) In cooperation with the FBI, IRS-CI and the United States Attorney's Office, Agents from HUD-OIG are	action is warranted. Close Investigation.
	currently utilizing (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) to pro-actively identify targets that are actively	action is warranted. close investigation.
	engaging in fraudulent mortgage transactions. Based on targets known to engage in fraud, as identified by $(b)(6)$;	
	also arrested as part of $(h)(7)(F)$ (now deceased), four fraudulent mortgage deals involving properties located at	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have been identified.	
	nave been dentified.	
7/29/2021	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) have persuaded various individuals into obtaining mortgages on	All judicial actions complete. Evidence disposed of. No further
172372021	properties owned $b_{(b)(6)}$; and others, which they would not normally qualify for and/or afford. (b)(6);	action is warranted. ROI completed in 2014. Close
	is the purported (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); is the purported office	Investigation.
	manager (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) through various methods, locate	
	potential straw buyers of properties owned by(b)(6)· (b)(7)(C)	
	directly or by way of (h)(h). then submit false statements to lending institutions to get buyers qualified, and to	
	receive section 8 benefits. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) further utilize inflated appraisals to	
	receive cash back at property closings, and to award straw buyers for the placement of mortgages in their names. Most if	
	not all properties owned by (h)(6)·(h)(7)(C) receive section 8 assistance. (h)(6)·(h)(7)(C)	1
	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) utilize various mortgage brokers (loan originators), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the	1
	processing of false loan applications and information, which in turn lending institutions rely on in deciding whether or not a	
	loan may be funded. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6) utilize various fraudulent schemes to defraud lenders and section 8. As part of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) completes loan documents, loan applications, and section 8 processing for various straw borrowers.	
	(b)(6): is not a licensed loan originator in the state of Illinois. Following the purchase of properties and the placement of	
	those mortgages in a straw borrower's name, ownership of the subject properties is then immediately deeded back to	
	(L)(A)·(L)(7)(C) relinquishing ownership rights, and leaving the straw borrowers with no property and a large	
	mortgage. (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) or others then place themselves as a section 8 landlord, by	
	way of the property deed, and receive section 8 benefits.	
1/12/2021	(b)(6): from CHAC sent an email to HUD-OIG regarding a tenant by the name of (b)(6): A tenant by the name of	The case was presented to the USAO and declined for
	(b)(6); complained that her landlord $(h)(6)$. forged her name on a rent increase document $(h)(6)$ alleged	prosecution. The investigation against (b)() was declined
	that $(h)(f)$ is both a tenant and a landlord. $(h)(f)$ stated that she knew this to be true because $(h)(f)$. The	
	building located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
4/14/2021	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) for Echo Housing Corporation, Evansville, Indiana, was terminated after it was	All judicial action complete.
	discovered that she allegedly paid her personal property taxes from the Echo Housing Corporation funds. Echo Housing	

discovered that she allegedly paid her personal property taxes from the Echo Housing Corporation funds. Echo Housing receives funding primarily from HUD in the form of CDBG, HOME, ESG and Continuum of Care programs. In addition, Echo receives funds from the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority, which is funded by HUD.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
8/4/2021	It is alleged that Pangea Equity Partners, et al, have instructed their leasing agents and managers to quote new section 8	(b)(7)(E) declined by the USAO NDIL Civil Division. No
	tenants a monthly rental amount \$150 above the target rent for the same unit. It is also alleged that non-section 8 tenants	further action is warranted. Close Investigation.
	are allowed to negotiate downward the monthly target rental amount whereas section 8 tenants are not afforded this	
2/45/2024	opportunity.	
3/15/2021	The Ferndale Housing Commission received a complaint that HCV tenant $(b)(6)$; has unreported income and	Investigative findings declined for prosecution.
	unauthorized tenants. Specifically, the complaint alleges that $(b)(6)$ makes approximately \$5,000 per month doing hair while collecting Social Security benefits and $(b)(6)$; has lived with her as an unauthorized tenant since 2012. Ferndale	
	Housing Commission's total loss is \$33,825.	
10/6/2020	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from a local development corporation and $(b)(6)$ was charged in a court of	Successful Prosecution
	common pleas with allegedly committing a theft and money laundering. Specifically, from 01/01/2014 to 03/03/2016, the	
	economic development(b)(6): allegedly embezzled \$223,878 through a variety of schemes that include re-depositing	
	payroll checks, ATM withdraws on casino premises, counter check withdraws, debit purchases for travel, personal apparel	
	items, and more than \$60,000 in payments to $(b)(6)$ for unauthorized work and retail credit purchases.	
2/20/2024	$2 + 10/20/2017$, C_{res} d P_{res} ideal to the complexity of $(CPUC)$ Continue of C_{res} diverses $(P)/(P)$.	Constanting the second large
3/29/2021	On 10/30/2017, Grand Rapids Housing Commission (GRHC) Section 8 Coordinator $(b)(6)$; contacted HUD OIG $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ and reported that a clien <u>t of the Gran</u> d Rapids Housing Commission was renting a subsidized	Case declined for prosecution.
	unit at $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ and reported that a client of the Grand Rapids Housing Commission was renting a subsidized from $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ from $(b)(6)$; $(b)(6)$; and $(b)(6)$; since 7/21/2010. The	
	GRHC, sustained a loss of \$53,404.00.	
2/25/2021	During a custodial interview of (h)(6) who is serving a prison sentence for charges related to mortgage fraud, he	All judicial actions complete. Evidence disposed of. No further
	informed HUD-OIG Agents that he and $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ would provide a monthly payment, between \$1,000 and	action is warranted. Close Investigation.
	\$1,300, to (Last Name Unknown) in exchange for a list of available	
	voucher recipients looking for units. (h)(f) advised that this information, which was not publicly available, assisted he and	
	(b)(6 in maintaining a constant influx of Section 8 tenants.	
4/12/2021	Received Hotline complaint alleging a HUD Consultant $(b)(6)$; and Contractor, $(b)(6)$; colluded to defraud	Case declined for prosecution.
4/12/2021	FHA Borrower(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	ease declined for prosecution.
10/26/2020	Complaints from current and former employees of the 50 year old plus organization describe a scarcity of funding for the	Allegations unsubstantiated.
	various after school and senior programs, for which the HCSC is a grant recipient. The result is a widespread inability to HCSC	
	to pay its employees and a greatly reduced number of remaining programs.	
12/15/2020	A referral from HUD program alleged a property management company embezzled or diverted property funds earmarked for	Prosecution Declined
	critical repairs. The disposition of these funds is currently unknown.	
	On October 30, 2018 (b)(6): received a request for assistance with an investigation into Section 8 tenant, (b)(6):	Investigative findings declined for prosecution.
	(h)(A)· is a tenant under the Public and Indian Housing Program and lives with $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ PIC shows that $(b)(6)$ is on the lease and is reporting his income. FSSA was provided information by the on-site	
	apartment manager that shows that $(b)(6)$; moved from the household. The on-site apartment manager is also $(b)(6)$;	
	$h_{\rm D}({\rm A})$	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
1/12/2021	During an audit it was discovered that employees of the East St. Louis Housing Authority (ESLHA) may have been doubled	This case was presented to the Central District of Illinois and
	paid. It is alleged that multiple employees of the ESTHA, received pay from the ESLHA and Majestic Management. Majestic	was declined for prosecution. Specifically, the AUSA (b)(5);
	Management handled multifamily projects for the ESLHA. It is alleged the payroll records show (h)(6)· (h)(7)(C)	(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) received paychecks from ESLHA for the pay periods that were also covered by Majestic	
		(b)(7)(E) There was no language in the contract between East St.
	\$50,000 in erroneous pay.	Louis Housing Authority and Majestic Management indicating
		the number of hours an employee must work. After(h)(6)
		(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
1/13/2021	This office is in receipt of information from an ongoing mortgage fraud investigation that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$	The USAO declined this investigation for prosecution for
	has been allegedly involved in fraudulent FHA and conventional real estate transactions. More specifically (b)(6): has	various reasons. (b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)
	sold at least 11 properties on the south side of Chicago between 2010 and 2016. It is also alleged that the loan files contain false information related to the borrower's intention to occupy the property as a primary residence, employment, assets, down payment, earnest money, and the true sales price of the property. The loss at this time is approximately \$1,000,000 to HUD and the lenders.	(b)(5); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)
		by HUD OIG and the USAO. Therefore, this transaction will be
		merged with the investigation entitled (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
		(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) No
		further investigation is required at this time.
11/9/2020	HUD alleges that ((b)(6); a HUD FHEO employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made false statements by not disclosing his criminal history and military service when he was hired by HUD.	Close
1/14/2021		
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	
9/17/2021	QAD referred a limited denials of participation case against (h)(6)· (h)(7)(C) with Caliber Home	Case declined. Loans indemnified/LDP issued. Close case.
5/1//2021	Loans, Inc. (CHLI), and (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) with CHLI. QAD indicated CHLI self-reported 6 FHA	case declined. Loans indentified/LDF issued. Close case.
	cases: (h)(A): (h)(7)(C) originated and processed by	1
	(b)(6): in which there were income and employment misrepresentations. QAD found 2 additional cases:(b)(6);	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also originated and processed by (b)(6): with income and employment	
	misrepresentations. All 8 cases involve properties in Houston and Katy, Texas. As of date, one of the aforementioned cases,	
	(b)(6); had a \$55,357 claim, FHA claim type 33, paid on 04/12/2020.	
5/18/2021	A complainant, who indicated a desire to remain anonymous, reported anomalies in the bidding process for the re-roof of a	Case declined, referred to OA.
	public housing development. She alleged that the winning bidder's initial bid was insufficient. The bidder (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	J
	was allowed to cure their bid after all of the bids were already published. She is also alleging retaliation after she raised	
	questions about another project and so her company has not won subsequent bids.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
10/30/2020	Complainant alleges that current Granbury Housing Authority (GHA) (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6	No information was uncovered that corroborated the
	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) are committing fraud at the GHA. Potential allegations included; nepotism, time	allegations. The matter was referred via memo to HUD.
	theft, creating a false lease for a two bedroom unit for the purposes of getting the complainant moved up on the waiting list	
	for a 3 bedroom unit, and abuse of the GHA's credit cards to purchase supplies for personal use.	
C /1 E /2021		
6/15/2021		
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	
4/21/2021	The second since the subject company. Markin Frank, Mission Mainishing is second as a second second second second	Considerational and and the annual second
4/21/2021	The complainant states the subject company, Multi-Family Mission Ministries, is managing an apartment complex and providing false supporting documentation. The apartment complex, Prince Village Apartments located in Shreveport, LA, is a	Case declined, referred to program.
	property benefitting from a tax credit program. The managers of the property began falsifying employment verifications	
	documents, financial records, and signatures of tenants to support the tax credit eligibility. The complainant also states	
	many of the documents were shredded. The subject company manages 18 other properties and could be doing the same	
	practices at other locations. The potential loss to HUD is unknown.	
7/27/2021	Complainant (b)(6): Northern Pueblos Housing Authority (NPHA) (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) alleged that several	Criminal prosecution was declined. HUD OIG referred this
	members(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)were engaging in fraudulent activities.(h)(6): (h)(7)(C)(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)allegedly participated in the fraud.(h)(6): (h)(7)(C)	case to HUD PIH to review for potential administrative violations.
	allegedly conducted numerous transfers from the NPHA's MEPA and restricted tax accounts into the NPHA's general fund, to	violations.
	pay expenditures. $(b)(6)$; had allegedly obtained a \$250,000 loan in the NPHA's name in order to pay for a personal home	
	in Tesuque Pueblo. The NPHA paid the debt and interest off from that loan. (h)(h) additionally used HUD funds to	
	purchase a fence around a personal basketball court and for new doors on his home. (h)(A)- allegedly double dipped as	
	an full time NPHA employee, receiving compensation, and as a NPHA board member, receiving bonuses.	
0/20/2024		
9/20/2021	(b)(6): $(b)(7)(C)$ reviewed financial statements (credit cards) from the Paducah Housing Authority and discovered questionable purchases made by $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$. $(b)(d)$ discovered purchases made on	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The unauthorized purchases made by the other two employees
	weekends, for personal use, and high volume purchases that would exceed the necessity to run a 60 unit complex.	have been referred back to HUD. No further action necessary.
	Additionally, (h) received a monthly travel allowance and (b) found potential issues with tenant rent money not getting	
	deposited (as indicated by the rent registers not having a corresponding deposit slip). [/h]/_only reviewed six credit card	
	statements but identified possibly \$5,200 in questionable purchases.	
9/20/2021	Case was transferred from HUD-OIG Region 9 to HUD-OIG Region 6 for prosecutorial venue. Region 9 case is (b)(7)(E)	Case was declined for criminal prosecution. Matter referred
	(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(to CFPB for potential administrative action.
	(b)(6). taught classes to HUD REO listing agents and offered a business opportunity where $(h)(h)$. would fill out HUD contract applications and conditions in to HUD for the agents. In roture $(h)(h)$.	
	fill out HUD contract applications and send them in to HUD for the agents. In return, (h)(h) had the agents sign contracts to pay them approximately 25% - 30% of their earned fee for every transaction done this way. The kickback was	
	made outside of the normal escrow process and was not recorded on the HUD-1 Settlement Statement.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
	The complainant alleges that the Coleman Housing Authority (h)(6). charged maintenance supplies and paid for repairs with Section 8 program funds to rehabilitate USDA Rural Development Farm Home projects. Additionally, the complainant alleges that bidding of projects have been rigged by the (harder) which has resulted in her personal benefit.	Case was declined criminally and civilly by the U.S. Attorney's Office
6/16/2021	It is alleged that the subject of the investigation is subletting a Section 8 home to family while living with $(h)(6)$. (h)(6): is associated with several businesses that generate income including a tire shop and car exporting operation. The subject has failed to disclosed her household composition and income to several agencies including the Grand Prairie HA, USDA and the Texas Health Human Services Commission.	Case complete. Subject has been convicted and sentenced.
7/22/2021	On April 7, 2017, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General (HUD OIG), Office of Investigations, New Orleans, Louisiana obtained an audit report concerning Community Development Block Grant funds that were for the City of Mandeville, Louisiana. The audit report, 2017-FW-1004, indicated that the City of Mandeville failed to perform independent cost estimates; maintain a complete monitoring policy to aid in detecting fraud, waste, and abuse; and include all required information on their website. This complaint was opened to document and determine if any criminal violations occurred during the administration of the CDBG funds.	No evidence of criminal/civil violations or a loss to HUD were identified. Administrative issues identified were completed with HUD's knowledge. No further investigative resources will be expended on this matter and this case is closed.
9/17/2021	The Magnolia is a multi-housing property that is a residential care facility that is in a non-surplus cash position and has failed to submit timely annual audited financial statements and failed to provide management for the projects involving the property in accordance with HUD's standards. In addition, allegations include being 24 months delinquent on the mortgage and paying other related parties while mortgage is delinquent.	Subjects have been charged, convicted, and referred for administrative action.
4/12/2021	$ \frac{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}{(h)(7)(C)} $ stated two workers employed by $\frac{(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)}{(h)(7)(C)} $ filed a complaint on 02/12/18 alleging $\frac{(b)(6)}{(b)(7)(C)}$ required them to kickback wages. DOL learned that the two workers were removed from the project (terminated) shortly after speaking to a project manager about the situation and questioning their wages. The project is a HUD-guaranteed loan, therefore the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts and federal wages apply. The contract is to build an apartment complex $\frac{(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)}{(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)}$ DOL planned to address retaliation and overall compliance. DOL referred the wage kickbacks to HUD- OIG and indicated the contractors likely falsified certified payroll as well.	Declined, close case.
6/10/2021	This investigation surrounds allegations that the business of Lionsgate has received Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program funds and have not paid subcontractors. Additionally, it is alleged the business of Lionsgate has failed to complete homeowner(s) residential repairs. An individual associated with Lionsgate is also alleged to have committed fraud within the Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program and the Small Business Administration to receive funding for his personal residence.	Case declinedclose.
9/7/2021	Information was received from $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ indicating that the Borger Housing Authority accepted cash payments of rental income and has not produced financial data since 2014. Cash deposits were deficient by an estimated \$485,690 during 2017 to 2019.	Subject has been charged, convicted, and referred for administrative action.
7/16/2021	Information was received from $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ Housing Authority of New Roads alleging that the $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ asked her to increase the rent amounts on the houses he owns which are on the City of New Roads Section 8 program. The Housing Authority of New Roads administers the section 8 program for the City of New Roads. Therefore, the City of New Roads issues the section 8 landlord checks each month. In addition, $(h)(6)$ alleged that $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$ who recently received a section 8 voucher be allowed to continue to live in a rental house owned by $(b)(-)$ and the house be added to the Housing Authority of New Roads section 8 program.	Case declined, matter referred to HUD.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
9/23/2021	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) HUD Multifamily contractor Southwest Housing Compliance Corporation,	Investigation complete.
	provided information that Management and Occupancy Reviews (MORs) were recently completed at Belaire Manor of	
	Longview and Belaire Manor of Marshall. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6): an identity of interest company. Belaire Manor-Longview and Belaire Manor-Marshall have failed their MORs,	
	partly due to systemic minimal annual income amounts and a systemic pattern of insufficient or non-compliant income	
	verification. This is a similar pattern that was noted during a previous investigation/audit into Beverly Place and Louis Manor	
	properties, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) are	
	affiliated with 16 properties on SHCC's portfolio.	
4/21/2021	A complete was received at the HUD OIC Hatling indicating the West Halona Housing Authority (WHHA) and the (H) (O)	Referred to program staff
4/21/2021	A complaint was received at the HUD OIG Hotline indicating the West Helena Housing Authority (WHHA) and the $(b)(6)$:	Referred to program stan
	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) are allowing improper activities to occur. Specifically, the complaint alleges a sex offender is an	
	employee, convicted criminals are being housed, tenants who are not eligible to reside in WHHA HCVP housing are living	
	with family members, and other alleged improper activities are occurring. Also, $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$	
	was aware of the complaints and has turned the complaints over to (h) Additionally (h) is involved with a local attorney,	
	(b)(6): , who tells tenants that if they complain, they will be removed from the program.	
3/11/2021	The Houston Chronicle reports that Tony Buzbee, a lawyer, has filed a lawsuit on behalf of two companies that were	Prosecution declined, referred to program staff.
	fraudulently listed as subcontractors. The suit is against the companies that listed them, Burghli Investments and Tegrity	
	Houston. The subcontractors are minority owned businesses. Burghli Investments and Tegrity Houston were both awarded	
	contracts by the City of Houston for construction services related to Hurricane Harvey.	
4/21/2021	The complainant advised a realtor in the West Memphis, Arkansas area is selling HUD REO properties to relatives who are	Declined.
	claiming and certifying the property will be owner occupied but are making repairs and selling the properties instead.	
8/3/2021	On September 26, 2019, the Texas General Land Office (GLO) provided information alleging that Homeowner Assistance	Charges dismissed, close case.
	Program (HAP) grant recipient $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ may have provided false information in her HAP application.	
	Specifically, GLO alleged that (h) did not reside and use as her primary residence the subject property. To date, GLO has	
	expended a total of \$34,535 on renovation of the subject property.	
8/18/2021	DHS OIG provided information that (h)(6): allegedly provided false information to FEMA by claiming a need for	Declinedclose case.
0/10/2021	assistance in the aftermath of Hurricane Harvey. (b)(who is a Section 8 recipient, initially claimed that her subsidized unit	Decimedclose case.
	located at $(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)$ was damaged by the storm $(b)(c)$ later changed the damaged address to	
	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) The (b)(6): address is owned by (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(C)(C) (b)(
	address at the time of the Hurricane. As a result of her false statements (h)() received \$33,246.14 in FEMA assistance to	
	which she was not entitled. It was discovered by DHS OIG during the course of their investigation that (b) may have	
	provided false information to the Houston Housing Authority. Specifically, (b)(appears to have transposed her identifying	
	information on her Criminal Background Check Release Form dated 6/20/18 in order to conceal an arrest for a Felon in	
	Possession of a Firearm charge. Also it appears tha $(b)(b)$ is claiming tha $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ resides with her when	
	in fact(b)(6); resides with (b)(6); in another state. DHS OIG has indicated that the USAO has accepted the FEMA fraud	
	charges (b)(5); (b)(7)(E)	
4/27/2021	A list of potential Public and Indian Housing tenants with active criminal warrants within Region 6 was produced by HUD OIG	All confirmed hits have been referred to local law
	Headquarters. This case will be used to identify and confirm this information.	enforcement.

5/21/2021 Complaint alleging section 8 property owner(s) charging tenants more rent than contracted with St. John the Baptist Housing Case declined. Close. Authority.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
1/28/2021	On November 21, 2017, $(b)(6)$: Housing Solutions Alliance, LLC (HSA) provided information to HUD-OIG, which alleged that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$. Brackettville Housing Authority (BHA), Brackettville, TX, may have committed payroll fraud. $(b)(6)$: stated that HSA was conducting fee accounting services for BHA and found discrepancies in what was provided by $(b)(6)$: with that of the bank records in regards to her payroll. Specifically, $(b)(6)$: stated that $(b)(6)$: paid herself an additional seven times. When confronted about the payments, $(b)(6)$: informed HSA that the additional payments were advances. However, $(b)(6)$: stated that $(b)(6)$; was still paid her regular bi-weekly salary after the alleged advance date. $(b)(6)$: added that $(b)(6)$: was the only signature authority on the checks. $(b)(6)$; also alleged gross mismanagement of contracts with materials and supplies.	Case complete.
8/16/2021	It is alleged that the Cumby HA $(\underline{h})(\underline{6}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ is misusing HA funds by converting them to her personal use by 1.) using the HA credit card to pay fo($\underline{b})(\underline{6})$: college, 2.) taking payment for travel to HUD functions and meetings she has not attended. It is also alleged that $\underline{(\underline{b})(\underline{6})}$ is not responding to tenant maintenance requests in a timely manner. ($\underline{b})(\underline{6})$ will not accept income changes until the annual recertification period then penalizes tenants with the overpayment they may have received. ($\underline{b})(\underline{6}$): is also accused of moved into her unit and provided her unit with a new heating system, new paint, new refrigerator and new hot water heater. When our units are suffering with leaks, mold, ac and heating units that don't work properly. Windows that do not open properly. Doors that don't close properly.	The stolen funds have been repaid to the housing authority and the case was declined for prosecution.
10/22/2020	(b)(6): Anderson Development & Construction (ADC), alleges that (b)(6); , with KWA Construction (KWA), is engaging in an illegal and unethical behavior while performing construction work at the West Ridge Villas (WRV) Apartment Complex, located in Frisco, Texas, from August to December 2017. ADC stated that KWA offered ADC 2% profit of KWA's allowed 6% profit. Additionally, KWA attempted to obtain overhead in amounts by having ADC pay them the sales tax exemption and reduced scope of work savings. ADC's second allegation involved KWA misrepresenting 43 change order requests by charging amounts in excess of the HUD 92328 contract prices and architect's instructions. This allowed KWA to obtain the excess profits. The HUD 92328 is the foundation document for KWA's profit and ADC's loan with lender, Red Capital. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ADC's third allegation involved KWA installing unapproved cheaper materials to value engineer the construction and retain the cost savings. KWA did not provide change orders for this installation or any corrections to conforming work. This installation did not meet guidelines and needed to be fixed. ADC's fourth allegation involved KWA allegedly overdrawing approximately \$1,000,000 for work that had not been completed. The (b)(6): and HUD inspector would have marked down on the pay applications that work was on the ground. The draw request would have reflected KWA getting paid for the same incomplete work or non-conforming work.	The investigation did not find any information that corroborated the allegations.
3/8/2021	A query was done regarding high volume appraisers. $(b)(6)$; was shown to have completed over 120 appraisals over a three month period all over the Dallas/Fort Worth metro area.	No evidence of criminal, civil, or administrative violations were found.
6/30/2021	On March 7, 2018, St. John the Baptist Parish, District Attorney Office officials, met with agents assigned to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General (HUD OIG) and the Department of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General (DHS OIG). The parish officials alleged Royal Engineering, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) overseeing the expenditure of HUD's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) funds, maintained total control over the funds and has not provided sufficient documentation regarding those funds. The officials further alleged individuals in the parish government might have manipulated applications for family and friends so they could obtain funding.	Investigation declined. Close.
12/9/2020	Allegations have been received that state that $(b)(6)$; a MCHA employee, provided $(b)(6)$; an assisted living home when his income would exclude him. In addition $(b)(6)$: $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ to take ownership of property, while listing $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ as a legal guardian.	The case was declined by the U.S. Attorney's Office.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
5/14/2021	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which runs five boarding houses in the Dallas area. (b)(6)	Case was declined for prosecution by the U.S. Attorney's
	also is a participant of the Housing Choice Voucher Program administered by the Dallas Housing Authority. (b)(is receiving	Office.
	HAP for a unit located at $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ although (L_{M}) is currently not residing in the unit and is residing	
	at (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) (h)(8) is allegedly operating one of her boarding houses out of her Housing Choice	
	Voucher Program assisted unit.	
11/13/2020	In accordance with HUD-OIG's Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the National Center for Missing and Exploited	Close
	Children (NCMEC), HUD-OIG has compared public housing and Section 8 residents for the purpose of locating missing	
	children and potential abductors. The leads represent confirmed active cases with NCMEC involving missing/abducted	
	children and potential abductors.	
10/23/2020	Information was received from an anonymous complainant indicating that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ for Shelter	Investigation complete. The subject has entered in to a Pre-
	Agencies for Families in East Texas (SAFE-T), is using HUD funds to supply (h)(6)·(h)(7)(C) a place	Trial Diversion Agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office.
	to live. According to the complainant (b)(6 provided housing assistance for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) , since December of 2015. The information received also alleges that (b)(used HUD	
	Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds to move $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ and has threatened to fire other employees who	
	disclose the information to the SAFE-T director. The complainant, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that	
	he/she signed an agreement indicating that they would not help family or friends with HUD or ESG funds.	
12/9/2020	(b)(6): reported a matter originally detected by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the Nacogdoches Housing	Case declinedclose.
, _,	Authority. (b) (noticed that one of his tenants was listed as receiving duplicate benefits, one from the housing authority	
	and one at the project based multifamily development Eastwood Terrace. (b)(6): , along with(b)(6): of the	
	Southwest Housing Compliance Corporation, conducted further inquiries. $(b)(6)$; alleged that there are several	
	maintenance personnel living at the Eastwood Terrace in units listed in other tenants' names. Eastwood Terrace is a 192	
	unit complex (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) It is not FHA insured but is 100% subsidized. It is managed	
	by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) allegedly	
	admitted fault for the problems at the complex.	
4/21/2021	The complainant states the subject is a member of a board that has received HUD funds but have redirected the funds to a	Referred to program.
	project for which the funds were not intended as well as allowing for the funds to be used for improvements on private	
	property. The potential loss could be up to approximately \$461,651.50.	
12/8/2020	Allegations surround JPHA HCVP Tennant and Landlord failing to report living arrangements. Also allegations surrounding	Case declinedclose.
	unauthorized persons residing with tenant.	
12/9/2020	Allegations have been received that the Manager of the Wynnewood Housing Authority has been using HUD funds to buy	The subject has been sentenced and a referral for
	building supplies for her personal use. The complainant alleges that she has receipts for over \$1000 in misspent funds. In	administrative action has been made.
	addition, the manager allegedly had overpaid an employee for hours not worked.	
4/5/2021	Poinsett County Housing Authority (PCHA) ((b)(6). alleged former PCHA employee (b)(6).	Prosecution declined.
	(b)(6): had something over $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ and the two misappropriated and spent	
	thousands of dollars of the PCHA funds $(b)(6)$: located PCHA documents that indicate PCHA funds were spent at a dog	
	track in Memphis, TN and a casino in Tunica, MS. (b)(6) further indicated the PCHA paid (b)(6): approximately \$1.5	
	million for new computers, that the PHA never received. $(b)(6)$; believes other crimes were committed by $(b)(6)$;	

and (b)(6) and she will provide the necessary PCHA documents to support all of the allegations.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/8/2020	The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General (HUD-OIG), Region 6, New	Agent notes received. Case declinedclose.
	Orleans, Louisiana, received information from the Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding Palmetto Greens (PG)	
	apartments in Covington, Louisiana. PG is a mixed income rental property that offers subsidized housing for low income	
	families. $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ alleged that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$, requested	
	(h)(6) to conceal an applicant's true income in order to make them eligible for subsidized housing.	
6/30/2021	(h)(6) called the duty agent phone line and alleged that (h)(6)·(h)(7)(C) , is a public housing tenant with the	Investigation complete
	Centerville, TX Housing Authority and is committing fraud by reporting ghost tenants on her family composition. (المراره) also	
	alleged the Centerville Housing Authority ((b)(6): (b)(7)(C) is committing fraud within the public housing	
	program and is having an affair with the Centerville Housing Authority maintenance man and allowing his family to live in a	
	public housing unit illegally.	
4/5/2021	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) was conducting an independent audit of the Oak Haven multifamily project when (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	Case declined, referred to HUD program staff.
	presented concerns about the operations of the complex. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claimed that (1) payments were made when no	
	service was performed, (2) some of the invoices were inflated, (3) the project may have funded another project, and (4) kick	
	backs. (h)(6): looked into the allegations but found no support for the claims by (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) However he did note an	
	identity of interest service provider. That service provider received \$26,000 over the course of several years.	
3/25/2021	On 9/17/18, an anonymous source provided information that the company, Globus Management Group, LLC, was submitting	Case allegations were unsubstantiated after being
	fraudulently inflated invoices to HUD for services rendered.	investigated.
11/6/2020	It is alleged that $(b)(6)$. the subject of closed investigation $(b)(7)(E)$ has continued to file fraudulent warranty	Subject was convicted, sentenced, and a referral for
	deeds from prison in order to maintain control of properties involved in his original scheme. His original scheme involved	administrative action was made. No further action needed.
	filing fraudulent warranty deeds in order to rent, live and sell properties that he did not legitimately own.	
3/25/2021	On January 30, 2019, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General (HUD OIG), Office	Case declined. Close.
	of Investigations, New Orleans, Louisiana received information from the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Western District of	
	Louisiana. The allegations allege $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ of the Lake Providence Housing Authority (LPHA) failed to provide	
	Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Forms 1099-MISC to contractors who provided services at the housing authority. It is alleged	
	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) also received kickbacks from contractors who provided work at the LPHA.	
6/30/2021	HUD OIG received a phone call from a source advising they had information about Port Arthur Housing Authority employees	Investigation complete
	taking bribes in exchange for bousing vouchers and jobs at the bousing authority. Additionally, the source reported that	

taking bribes in exchange for housing vouchers and jobs at the housing authority. Additionally, the source reported that employees were also creating documents related to the procurement of bids.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
7/8/2021	On 09/11/2014, (b)(6): received a call from (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	investigation complete
	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) stated he was working on an investigation with (h)(6):	
	Victoria County District Attorney, involving (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) Victoria Housing Authority (VHA), and	
	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) VHA. Note: (h)(6): allegedly informed VHA investigator,	
	(h)(6) not to contact HUD-OIG and keep things in-house. (h)(6) previously worked two federal investigations	
	with the assistance of (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) who is another VHA investigator. (h)(6): thought HUD	
	should be notified of the situation at VHA, and hence, his contact to (h)(h). Indicated that in 2013,	
	(L)(G) replace the set of the set	
	and $(\underline{h})(\underline{h})$ The allegation is that $(\underline{b})(\underline{h})$: was involved in $(\underline{b})(\underline{h})$ scheme, and perhaps $(\underline{h})(\underline{h})$: and other employees were also involved in unauthorized pay. $(\underline{b})(\underline{h})$: would like to go back and look at the payroll of $(\underline{b})(\underline{h})$: and all	
	VHA employees from about 2004 to present. From the records thus far, $(h)(h)$ suspected $(h)($ received approx.	
	\$341,378.20 in unauthorized pay from 2005-2013. $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ DA, has accepted and is personally handling the	
	case. Possible state charges are Misapplication of Fiduciary Funds, P.C. 32.45 and Engaging, P.C. 71.02 sections a, 8, and a, 13.	
5/13/2021	A local housing resource center alleged that a landlord applied for CARES Act Eviction Prevention Program funds on behalf of	The CARES Act program identified in this case was funded by
	tenants without the tenants' knowledge. The alleged fraud was discovered before any money was released to the landlord,	the US Treasury therefore a HUD nexus could not be
	therefore the housing resource center suffered no loss.	established. In addition, the case was presented to
		prosecutors both federally and locally, who declined to file
		charges. Because there is no HUD nexus and no remaining
		avenues to address the criminal conduct, this case is being closed.
7/29/2021	It is alleged that (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Home Ownership Center (HOC), may have been	Case has been referred to the United States Department of
772572021	involved in the following: -Running a personal business on government time using his government computer. According to	Commerce.
	the complaint prior to being employed with HUD, (h) (h) was involved in real estate transactions, which as a condition of	
	employment he would have been required to divest his interest in. It is alleged that some of the e mails reviewed indicate	
	that (h)(6) continued with his real estate business after he was hired by HUD. (b)(6) was working on obtaining an advance	
	degree while he was an employee with HUD and the emails indicate that he was having his subordinates complete his	
	advance degree work for himIn addition, some of the emails indicate that (h)(h) was involved in a personal bankruptcy	
	and may have been using his email and position at HUD to influence his personal mortgage rates. The complaint stated that	
	(h)(A) was an employee with HUD for four to five years and resigned his position at HUD to take another job with the	
	Department of Commerce, where he is currently still employed.	
4/5/2021	HUD OIC received allocations from the Department of Justice of a passible bankgupter frond involving an owner of a HUD	Case was deslined
4/5/2021	HUD OIG received allegations from the Department of Justice, of a possible bankruptcy fraud involving an owner of a HUD funded multifamily assisted property.	Case was declined.
12/15/2020		The allegations were unsubstantiated; therefore this case is
12, 10, 2020		being closed administratively.
1/14/2021	The Agent learned from a brief conversation with HUD (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that the department	Case was declined.
	had concerns about the annual expenditures for contracted services at a MF insured property in Denver. The Agent	
	confirmed the property was owned by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) expressed to the Agent, that the Resolution Specialist assigned the property had concerns that	
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) routinely spent over \$100,000.00 annually on contractor services and there were rumors that	
	the vendors were related to either the owner or representatives of the Contracted Property Management Agent. The Agent	
	began gathering associated material and locating tenants who could confirm the alleged rumors.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
2/4/2021	(b)(7)(E)	
5/13/2021	HUD regional counsel made a referral to HUD OIG after receiving a call from a City attorney alleging the supervisor of a sub- contractor working on a rehabilitation project funded through Community Development Block (CDBG) funds and/or HOME funds, took his employees to the bank with their paychecks and demanded the employees pay the supervisor a kickback out of their paychecks.	The allegations were unsubstantiated when investigated by another law enforcement agency. The allegations were presented to the USAO WDMO which declined to prosecute, therefore no further resources will be expended on this investigation.
9/30/2021	HUD OIG opened an investigation after learning of allegations that a bank had made several under-secured and otherwise suspicious loans to a family member of a bank employee. The family member who benefited from the loans was allegedly involved in the real estate industry.	Allegations relating to bank fraud are being investigated by FDIC OIG and FHFA OIG. HUD OIG reviewed FHA loans and could not substantiate any loss caused by the subjects or related to the allegations in the larger case; therefore, HUD OIG's investigation into this matter is being administratively closed.
12/15/2020	Subject wishing to remain anonymous reported that a manager at an apartment complex was circumventing the wait list in order to place her own relatives in units. It was also alleged that the manager demands under the table payments in order to place people in apartments.	The investigation revealed there is no HUD nexus to the alleged complaint. This case is being administratively closed.
9/8/2021	On December 18, 2019, the Montana Department of Commerce telephoned $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$ to report a suspected overpayment of approximately \$32,000. The following day, the MDOC provided $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$ documents relating to $\underline{b}(\underline{6})(\underline{b})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ Annual Reexaminations since August, 2015. From a review of the documents and subsequent EIV queries, $(\underline{h})(\underline{6})$ estimated the loss to be \$28,374 over the period of September, 2015, until July, 2019.	Case was declined.
8/27/2021	Received referral from Colorado Bureau of Investigation regarding a complaint they received from an individual who had sent several checks to a loan modification company (American Property Solutions) with the understanding that the company would work out a loan modification on their FHA mortgage. According to the complainant he sent 4 payments to American Property Solutions however the complainant was told that American Property Solutions was pushing his FHA mortgage into foreclosure. The complainant stated CHFA had told him that this was a scam.	Case was declined for prosecution.
6/2/2021	On January 21, 2020, $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigation received documents from $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ Colorado Springs Housing Authority (CSHA) regarding allegations of fraud involving $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ (b)(6): $(b)(7)(C)$ It was alleged by the CSHA that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ which would be in violation of the Section 8 program rules. It was also alleged that $(b)(6)$: is renting to another family member through the Section 8 program as $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ The reporting agent acknowledged the receipt of the documents to CSHA.	Case was declined for prosecution.
9/28/2021	On December 4, 2015, $(\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Region 8, reviewed an electronic communication (email) from $(\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ ($\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ concerning a complaint submitted to HUD (\underline{h})($\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ office. The communication surmised the complaint received by $(\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{7})(\underline{C})$ office alleged possible fraud with awards through Wyoming Community Development Authority (WCDA), Federal Programs Department. The email from $(\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{h})(\underline{A})$	All anticipated judicial and administrative actions have been completed.

Date Closed Investigative Description

11/16/2020 This investigation involves Rural Innovation Fund Grant No. (h)(6). which was awarded to the Chippewa-Cree Tribe on 10-5-2011 but not actually funded until 2013. Although the OIG became aware of the grant in 2011, it was not until May 19, 2015, that CPD staff informed the OIG that the grantee ceased submitting Performance Reports to HUD after Sept, 2013, that listed the construction progress of the water project for which this grant was intended and that CPD staff did not know the status of the project.

Disposition

In the course of numerous discussions of this investigation with(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)(b)(5); (b)(7)(E) (b)(5); (b)(7)(E)

The construction of the Bonneau (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) Project appears to be complete and the \$2 million RIF grant funds appear to have been properly expended. No further investigative activity is anticipated in connection with this matter and this case will be administratively closed

The investigation was presented to the United States Attorney's Office. The USAO declined criminal charges. The case was then referred to HUD OIG OLC for PFCRA consideration. The PFCRA was also declined. Potential criminal and administrative options have been exhausted therefore this case is being closed.

to prosecute. The investigation was then referred to HUD OIG OLC for a potential PFCRA. the PFCRA was also declined. All potential criminal and administrative actions have been exhausted and the case is being closed.

This investigation was presented to the USAO which declined to prosecute. HUD issued LDPs to both subjects; therefore, criminal and administrative sanctions have been exhausted and this case is being closed.

This case was successfully prosecuted by the USAO WDMO. No further investigative action is warranted.

All foreseeable judicial actions have been taken. Case has been referred to the DEC for administrative action as deemed appropriate.

On March 25, 2016, (b)(6): 4/23/2021 referred an allegation he received from the Chippewa-Cree Law Enforcement Services Case is being administratively closed. conspired with contractor (L)(R). indicating that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ٦to embezzle funds from the CCHA by making fraudulent payments to (h)(A).

12/15/2020 HUD OIG received a referral from a housing authority investigator who alleged a subject defrauded the housing authority by not accurately reporting his income from a taxi business where he operated 5-6 taxis in Seattle and the surrounding area. The approximate loss was \$25,499. The USAO requested HUD OIG assist the housing authority with the investigation. The USAO declined to prosecute the case.

12/15/2020 HUD OIG received a referral from a Housing Authority investigator who alleged that a Section 8 tenant engaged in fraudulent. This investigation was presented to the USAO which declined activity by allowing an unauthorized individual to reside in her housing unit. The unauthorized individual, who was the (b)(6)· (b)(7)(C), also provided income to the household that was not reported by the voucher holder. The subject allegedly received approximately \$79,933.00 in housing assistance. The United States Attorney's Office, Western District of Washington requested HUD OIG assist the housing authority with this investigation. The USAO declined to prosecute.

4/16/2021 A bank advised HUD program staff that during an internal audit it discovered that one of its loan officers and one of its loan processors allegedly cut and taped borrowers' signatures on several loan disclosure documents for loans closed between February and May 2016. The bank noted that signatures were inconsistent or the borrowers' and co-borrowers' signatures were signed by the same person. In addition, the bank noted that related documents were found in a shred bin with signatures taped over blank signature lines. Approximately 10 FHA loans were affected.

9/2/2021 HUD OIG received a complaint from (b)(b)(b)(b)(c) of a housing authority indicating a Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) recipient, who was receiving Supplemental Aid to the Blind (SAB), was not actually visually eligible for the SAB benefit and had allegedly fraudulently received over \$83,000 in SAB benefits. The subject used his alleged disability to expedite his eligibility for public housing and HCV assistance. He also received food stamps, which he failed to report to the housing authority.

12/4/2020 FBI received allegations concerning possible loan origination and bank fraud involving Federal Housing Administration (FHA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) insured loans. located at $(h)(6) \cdot (h)(7)(C)$

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) It is alleged that (b)(is using the company's warehouse line through Citizens State Bank to obtains funds by selling "fake" FHA loans to Citizens utilizing the information of previously "denied" borrowers and repackaging the loan with a phony FHA case number. The allegations detailed to this office also indicated that the loans sit on the warehouse line for 45 days and then are purchased by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Allegations presented to this office detail that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who has purchased over 20 million dollars'

worth of these loans over the past 24 months.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
5/27/2021	HUD OIG received information from another OIG alleging the owner of a property management company was embezzling funds from a subsidized apartment complexes and failing to report the income from the business to to the Social Security Administration for consideration in calculating SSI benefits.	This case resulted in a successful prosecution by the United States Attorney's Office. A referral for suspension and debarment was sent to HUD's Departmental Enforcement Center. No further investigation is warranted.
5/11/2021	On December 1, 2016, NPONAP forwarded an e-mail from complainant (b)(6): in which (h)(6): alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) funds, equipment and staff to conduct repairs to her home. The complainant could not be reached by telephone to verify her e-mail and clarify details in it until January 4, 2017.	Allegations could not be substantiated.
11/9/2020	An FHA borrower allegedly made false statements on his loan application to include income and assets received from employment at an investment firm, as well as \$27,000 in gift funds. The investigation revealed the statements on the mortgage loan application were false, and that the borrower's son arranged the transaction. The findings were presented to the USAO, Eastern District of MO, which declined to prosecute.	The investigation was presented to the USAO, Eastern District of MO, and prosecution declined. No further resources will be expended on this investigation as it appears all criminal, civil, and administrative remedies have been exhausted.
7/9/2021	On October 25, 2019, $(b)(6)$: received an email from $(h)(6)$: $(h)(7)(C)$ of the Kansas City, Kansas Housing Authority (KCKHA). $(h)(6)$: alleged $(h)(6)$: reported to the KCKHA that she would be leaving for six months for mental health treatment, when in fact, $(b)(6)$: reported to Pekin Federal Prison in Illinois on June 1, 2019. On January 29, 2019, $(b)(6)$ was sentenced to six months imprisonment and six months home confinement for preparing tax returns containing false information. On October 28, 2019, $(h)(6)$: spoke to $(b)(6)$: who prosecuted (h)(6): $(h)(7)(C)$ stated he would be opening this matter and would to pursue it further.	The subject's Section 8 subsidy has already been terminated, (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) therefore this case i is being closed administratively.
9/9/2021	HUD OIG Hotline received a complaint alleging that a Section 8 tenant resided at a subsidized home that she and her boyfriend bought using CSBG funds through a state public housing agency. The tenant also owned an additional home which f(h)(A). Isted as his address when submitting landlord documentation. The tenant failed to report her ownership interest in the properties. The home eventually burned down, which the tenant also failed to report, allowing $f(h)(A)$. to continue to collect rent for an additional seven months.	This case was presented to the USAO, which declined to prosecute. No further investigative activity is warranted.
5/3/2021	Complainant alleges Kickbacks for contracted work, bypassing the waiting list for housing for political favors, and theft of construction materials by an employee.	Case is being referred to ONAP.
2/4/2021	A mail in complaint was received alleging that a Section 8 tenant was committing theft by submitting false information and documents which underreported her income and allegedly concealed the fact that she had a checking and savings account, may have received a lump sum payment for insurance, ad was allegedly a joint homeowner with $(b)(6)$. Further allegations arose during the course of the investigation indicating the subject inherited a home and failed to report it to HUD. The allegations relating to false statements were unsubstantiated. The investigation revealed the subject did inherit a home, which she reported to the program manager within the required timeframe. The subject's subsidy was recalculated to reflect the inheritance.	
2/4/2021	A tribal police chief contacted HUD OIG requesting assistance in investigating allegations that the tribal housing authority chairman participated in a home-ownership program that allowed her to purchase her home at a price drastically lower than the valued price, whereas other program participants were required to purchase their homes at or near the valued price. Furthermore, $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ was allegedly allowed to receive one-time home-owner rehab funding despite not meeting eligibility requirements by fraudulently claiming $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ was the home owner. The allegations were presented to the United States Attorney's Office which declined to prosecute.	The allegations were presented to the United States Attorney's Office, which declined to prosecute. No further resources will be expended on this investigation.
4/19/2021	While investigating a subject involved in social security benefits fraud it was discovered that $(b)(6)$: was receiving housing authority benefits from 03/2015 for a residence that she was not residing at. These housing authority benefits continued until 11/2018. The housing authority that this was through was the Burleigh County Housing Authority in North Dakota.	All anticipated judicial and administrative actions have been completed.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
Date Closed 7/9/2021	Investigative Description (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) a Housing Authority contacted HUD OIG alleging one of their clients was living in a unit which was owned by the $(b)(6)$; . The relationship allegedly violated the rules as it was not disclosed to the Housing Authority. The Housing Authority estimated the loss to be at least \$60,786.	The case was presented to the United States Attorney's Office, District of Nebraska, which declined to prosecute. A referral was made for administrative action. No further investigative action will be taken as this case is being closed.
11/2/2020	(b)(6): A resident of Multifamily Subsidized Housing, allegedly had an unauthorized person living in her household. The unauthorized occupant was earning a substantial amount of money that was not reported to the Denver Housing Authority (DHA).(b)(6): has since been evicted, but DHA calculated that she owes rent of \$21,082 based on unreported income from March 2017 to April 2019.	Case was declined for prosecution.
10/28/2020	HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging that an HCVP tenant owned a home in St. Louis, Missouri, worth approximately \$52,400. The HCVP tenant allegedly quit claimed the property to a corporation of which she was the sole owner. The complainant further alleged the tenant failed to disclose this asset to the Housing Authority. The investigation revealed the tenant did in fact disclose the property, and her affiliation with the corporation, to the Housing Authority. The asset was considered when determining the tenant's subsidy.	Case is being administratively closed because allegations could not be substantiated.
12/21/2020	Alleged section 8 HCV participant failed to report the required household income per the Section 8 program regulations from November 2001 to April 2018; resulting in an overpayment of over \$20,000 on the participant's behalf.	While the allegations were substantiated the case was declined for prosecution.
4/1/2021	HUD OIG received a referral from program staff alleging that: (1) undocumented workers were working on projects; (2) federal documents for payroll certifications were falsified; (3) work was being charged against a federally funded construction project but possibly not performed on the funded site; (4) workers on site were not reported as being on site and were not paid the federal prevailing wage; and (5) conflicts of interest existed and a contractor might have been falsely designated as a Section 3 Business for a jobs funded by HUD's Choice Neighborhood Grant.	After an investigation by the Department of Labor, contractors were required to pay additional wages to some workers. The case was referred by HUD OIG to the USAO, which declined criminal prosecution. Because all viable administrative and criminal actions appear to be exhausted, this case is being closed.
6/8/2021	On May 17, 2019 $(\underline{h})(\underline{A})$. received a phone call regarding possible fraud involving borrowers of FHA 203K loans who worked with HUD Coordinator, $(\underline{h})(\underline{A})$. and the construction company (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) are being told to sign over multiple withdrawals in advance to (b)(6): prior to the reported construction work commencing. According to the complainant, after six months of waiting, the work isn't being completed and $(\underline{h})(\underline{A})$ is no longer communicating with the borrowers.	
10/21/2020	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	
5/18/2021	A state department of inspections and appeals requested HUD OIG assistance with investigation relating to a housing authority tenant who allegedly failed to report that she had two different subsidized residences. In addition, the subject allegedly failed to report that (h)(A)·(h)(7)(O) resided with her who had unreported earned income.	The case was successfully prosecuted by the United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of Iowa. No further administrative or civil action is warranted so the case is being closed.
1/22/2021	Hotline complaint alleges Housing Authority of the City of Pocatello (HACP)//h\/A\- approximately \$73,500 in unused HAP payments being returned to the HACP from landlords whose tenants had moved out.	All anticipated judicial actions have been taken. Subject was referred to the DEC for administrative action.

Date Closed Investigative Description

- 5/19/2021 HUD OIG received a referral from a County District Attorney's Office and State civil investigator alleging that several individuals were part of a loan modification rescue scheme which was active from November 2010 through November 2011. Investigation revealed the individuals owned, or were employed by, two companies that were allegedly created with the sole been made. The remaining defendant is believed to have fled purpose of defrauding financially distressed homeowners who were led to believed the companies would drastically lower their mortgage interest rates, lower their monthly payments, and/or obtain a loan modification for them. Some victims were closed and will be reopened if/when the remaining defendant told to stop making mortgage payments and instead make payments to the companies, which accelerated victims' financial difficulties and in some instances resulted in foreclosure.
- 2/4/2021 As part of a proactive measure concerning the national pre-foreclosure sales program initiative, a data review revealed a possible short sale flopping scheme perpetrated by the subject's property company. Specifically, data showed several short sale transactions in which the subject's company purchased properties for a depressed price and then flipped the properties to an end buyer for a higher price. These flips appeared to be on the same day or within a short time period. The short sale purchase and the subsequent flip appeared to be closed by an attorney. The investigation resulted in the successful prosecution of the subject.
- 12/15/2020 HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging that a Housing Choice Voucher Program landlord was residing with her tenant. This case was presented to the Unites States Attorney's Office in the subsidized unit. The case was presented the United States Attorney's Office which declined to prosecute.
- 11/20/2020 HUD OIG received allegations from various sources alleging that members of an investment company sold homes to ungualified buyers and provided buyers with down payment funds. Borrowers' information was allegedly falsified on loan applications and supporting documents, including verifications of deposit and gift letters, were allegedly false. The subjects also allegedly bought homes at depressed prices at HUD pre-foreclosure sales and flipped the homes for higher prices while allegedly providing false and misleading to the lenders to conceal the pending flips. This case was presented to the United States Attorney's Office and declined for prosecution.
- 1/5/2021 HUD OIG received a referral from a State Attorney General's Office alleging that a real estate investor had allegedly participated in a scheme in which he located homeowners in financial distress and had the property owners transfer the property to him and/or his company. The investor then placed various properties into a trust and was designated as the beneficiary. He then allegedly negotiated shortsales with the lenders and arranged for another buyer to purchase the property for a price higher than the negotiated shortsale price unbeknownst to the lender.
- 3/19/2021 HUD OIG received a referral from another federal law enforcement agency regarding a HUD subsidized tenant who was the subject of a pending drug investigation. The subject allegedly had income from the illicit drug activity that was not reported to the Housing Authority.
- 11/20/2020 Another law enforcement agency requested assistance relating to allegations that a sub-contractor working on a HUD multifamily project was harboring and employing illegal aliens. There were also allegations of possible Davis-Bacon Act violations. Following an investigation the subject pleaded guilty to Harboring an Illegal Alien. The subject was sentenced to 2 years supervised release.

Disposition

The case was criminally prosecuted and all but one defendant has been fully adjudicated. Referrals to the DEC have also the country; therefore this case is being administratively has been apprehended.

The case was successfully prosecuted in by the United States Attorney's Office in the Southern District of Iowa. Referrals were made to HUD's Departmental Enforcement Center for administrative action. No further criminal, civil, or administrative action appears warranted.

which declined to prosecute. No further resources will be expended on this investigation as it is being closed.

This case was referred for criminal prosecution but the United States Attorney's Office declined to prosecute. No further investigative resources will be expended as the case is being closed.

The investigative findings were presented to the United States Attorney's Office, which declined to prosecute. Due to the USOA declination and age of this investigation, no additional resources will be expended on this matter and the case is being closed.

The subject of the investigation was charged. She entered into a Pre-Trial Diversion Agreement with the United States Attorney's Office. Because this case was successfully prosecuted, the case is being closed. The investigation resulted in the subject pleading guilty to Harboring an Illegal Alien. Referrals for suspension and

debarment were made to HUD's Departmental Enforcement Center. All judicial and administrative actions are complete and no further investigative resources will be expended; therefore the case is being closed.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
7/27/2021		
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	
5/18/2021	On August 30, 2017, $(b)(c) \cdot (b)(7)(c)$ U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Inspector General (HUD-OIG), Office of Investigations, Salt Lake City, Utah, field office, met with $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$ (b)(6): Utah County Housing Authority (UCHA) to make copies of files $(b)(6)$ had identified as having fraud. $(b)(6)$ identified a Section 8 tenant, $(b)(6)$: and her landlord as potentially committing fraud by charging extra for the garage in order to qualify the rent as being eligible for Section 8 assistance. It was also alleged that $(b)(6)$ failed to report income for herself and $(b)(6)$.	Case was declined by prosecutors.
10/19/2020	On 09-05-2017, $(b)(6)$: received a voicemail message from Polson, MT, $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$ indicating that the on-site manager $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ was recently charged with sexual assault on a tenant and committing acts of fraud in connection with HUD programs.	All judicial actions have been completed and the DEC declined to take any action.
6/4/2021	SSA OIG contacted HUD OIG to advise that they were investigating (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) for benefits fraud. During their	All foreseeable judicial and administrative actions have been completed.
10/19/2020	On October 14, 2016, the FBI notified the Billings Field Office that subject ((h)) is suspected of embezzling funds from her employer and that (h) was residing in subsidized housing. Initial investigation disclosed (h) was residing in an apartment unit subsidized thought the HOME Investment Partnership Program.	All foreseeable judicial actions have been completed.
9/9/2021	The HUD OIG hotline received a complaint from a former employee of a housing authority alleging a procurement officer was paid for time not worked with the $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ knowledge.	The allegations could not be substantiated therefore no further investigative activity will be conducted in the case and the case is being administratively closed.
3/26/2021	HUD OIG learned that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ of a housing agency was indicted for multiple counts of Wire Fraud and Federal Program Theft, following an investigation by the FBI and U.S. Attorney's Office. Five subjects ultimately pleaded	(b)(7)(E)
	guilty to charges related to a conspiracy wherein false invoices were submitted to the housing agency and money was paid to companies for work that was never done. HUD OIG referred all subjects for administrative action.	(b)(7)(E) However, all subjects were referred for administrative action resulting in four of the five subjects being debarred. One debarment referral is still pending. All criminal and administrative actions have been exhausted therefore this case is being closed.
2/2/2021	(b)(6): (b)(7)(C) contacted a HUD OIG agent alleging that a Housing Choice Voucher Program tenant failed to report her income and employment as a probation officer for the local municipal district. The subject's housing subsidy was terminated as a result. During the course of the investigation, the subject admitted her failure to report he income and employment. She repaid the Housing Authority and entered into a PTD Agreement with the USAO.	Investigation complete. No further investigative activity warranted. All actions complete.
5/26/2021	HUD OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$ of a Housing Authority created a fictitious business with others and billed the Housing Authority for work. Additional allegations involved the potential misuse of the Housing Authority's credit card.	The results of the investigation were presented to the United States Attorney's Office which declined to prosecute. Due to the lack of interest by the USAO, no further resources will be devoted to this investigation as it is being closed.

Date Closed Investigative Description

1/20/2021

Former employees of a subject who owned numerous real estate related businesses alleged the business owner was 3/26/2021 operating a turn key property flipping business by utilizing fraudulently inflated appraisals and other false documents to purchase foreclosure and short sale properties that were then flipped to out of state investors. Properties were then allegedly managed by a company owned by the same man. Tenants were purportedly both market rate and Section 8.

Disposition

The investigation was presented to the United States Attorney's Office which declined to prosecute. With the AUSA's permission, a referral was made to the Division of Consumer Affairs, Missouri Department of Insurance Financial Institutions & Professional Registration. No further investigation is warranted so this case is being closed.

12/2/2020 HUD-OIG received information from a former employee of a HUD-funded nonprofit organization alleging that a case management director had fraudulently forged a client's signature and falsified the date on a rental application in an attempt to spend down ESG (Emergency Solutions Grants) /HUD funds at fiscal year-end closure.

Closed administratively.

10/8/2020 HUD OIG SA discovered the subject profile herein via the fugitive felon data dump from HQ Ops. HUD OIG SA referred all Prosecution for benefit fraud declined by USAO. targets to the respective USMS Fugitive Task Forces, but specifically withdrew the subject profile herein due to it being a sex Administrative referrals. Administratively close. offender-related investigation. A formal investigation for Cal. PC 118a, 487i, and 290 to follow.

8/31/2021 Received a referral from HUD whereby (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)reported that she has been subleasing the unit located Eviction and Prosecution declined. Case closed. at(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)from HOH (b)(6) for 3 years and (b)(6): does not reside in the unit. (h)(6)· informed (b)(6); she needed to vacate the unit by 1/9/2020.

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)

10/29/2020 As part of a national objective, the City of Temecula received over \$400,000 in CDBG funds for the build of a playground at Sam Hicks Monument Park. It is alleged that all project funds have been spent without the construction/installation of a playground at Sam Hicks Monument Park.

3/29/2021 On March 9, 2020, HUD OIG received copies of three checks from the Santa Clara County Housing Authority (SCCHA) The checks appear to have been made out by the housing authority to two different individuals. The housing authority advised that the checks are counterfeit, and that none of the payees appear to be current program participants.

6/15/2021 In March 2020, the Santa Clara County Housing Authority (SCCHA) contacted HUD OIG regarding a family on the Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Program. It was alleged that the family fraudulently claimed that two of its members were full-time students which resulted in an overcalculation of their housing subsidy. As a result, the family's received the benefit of approximately \$136,629 of subsidies to which they were not entitled to receive.

5/19/2021 Los Angeles County Development Authority (LACDA) alleges that one of its recipients of subsidized housing benefits accessed Case declined by USAO. One subject removed from HCVP LACDA's web-based Portal System and replaced the property owner's banking information with their own personal banking information, causing multiple monthly HAP to be redirected to their personal bank account.

Investigation of initial allegation has been completed. Investigative findings were forwarded to HUD CPD for administrative recovery. It is deemed no further investigation is warranted at this time.

(b)(7)(E) no further investigation will be conducted. The case was referred to the FBI for any action they deem appropriate.

(b)(7)(E)	
(b)(7)(E)	this case is administratively closed.

program and unspent funds were recovered by the PHA. No further investigation needed.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
7/27/2021	In March 2020, HUD OIG received information regarding a management company of a HUD project based residential unit. It was alleged that the management company was involved with corruption, kickbacks, theft, and possible embezzlement.	Allegations not able to be substantiated. Due to office resources, this case is administratively closed
10/29/2020	A GSA contractor was hired to soundproof a HUD office space due to complaints about restroom noises being heard through the walls in the HUD office. It is alleged that the contractor did not soundproof the wall as outlined in the contract but received compensation for the work.	Investigation of initial allegation completed, no evidence of fraudulent activity or program violations found. It is deemed no further investigation is warranted at this time.
10/6/2020	HUD OIG SA received referral stating that an individual subject to lifetime registration as a sex offender was residing to a HUD-subsidized Section 8 residence.	Prosecution declined. Administrative referrals. Administratively close.
1/29/2021	In collaboration with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Criminal Investigation Division (EPA-CID), HUD-OIG has obtained information that Mountain Fog, operating in the Los Angeles area, is advertising and selling disinfecting services using unregistered pesticides for residential and business properties and illegally claiming their product can kill and protect against the SARS-CoV-2 virus causes for up to 90 days. This case is being investigated due to the significant risk to human health caused by the sale and distribution of unregistered pesticides, and or unverified pesticidal claims, resulting in the application of said pesticides in public housing, in violation of safety principles established by the HUD Office of Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes. This case has been discussed with the United States Attorney's Office in Los Angeles, California, which has expressed interest in prosecuting this matter. The allegations, if true, the sale and distribution of an unregistered, misbranded pesticide, would constitute violations of the Federal Insecticide Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq. Additional violations, including conspiracy (18 U.S.C. 371), and wire fraud (18 U.S.C. 1343), will also be explored.	No further action deemed warranted. Case closed.
3/8/2021	Complainant is alleging that the subject is materially and purposefully altering consumer loan documents to avoid buy back risk and higher profits by defrauding HUD and investors.	Closed administratively.
8/18/2021	(b)(7)(E)	

2/12/2021	Subject property currently has an FHA-insured HECM loan on the property and is alleged by anonymous complainant to be	Administratively closed.
	used as a drug-distribution house.	

 6/10/2021
 An anonymous complaint alleges that HACLA's (h)(f)(h)(f)(h)(f)(f)
 along with two (2) managers are soliciting bribes from vendors and manipulating bids from vendors, as well as substituting work standards from construction and maintenance vendors.
 Insufficient evidence found to pursue investigation further.

6/1/2021 HUD OIG Region 9 SA received call from USMS Sex Offender Task Force, requesting investigative assistance on residence re: No further action warranted. Case closed. subject who is alleged to be in violation of 18 USC 2250, Failure to Register as a Sex Offender. Upon further review, HUD OIG SA determined that the subject's residence is a HUD-subsidized Section 8 residence.

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
6/7/2021	On November 10, 2020, (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) advised HUD-OIG that he was investigating allegations made by($(h)(6)$: that(b)(6): attorney and real estate agent(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6) had stolen her identity and applied for a Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) loan on her residence located at (h)(6): (h)(7)(C) and that she was now being forced out of her residence due to the closure of the mortgage. ($h)(6)$: claimed that she had not received any funds from the HECM loan and that($h)(6)$; was not her power of attorney or her executor. On this same date, $(h)(6)$: $(h)(7)(C)$ e-mailed copies of a Tempe Police report concerning($b)(6)$: allegations, as well as, documents received from($(b)(6)$ and recorded deeds pertaining to the($h)(6)$: (b)(f) property that he had obtained during the course of his investigation. At the request of HUD-OIG, HUD Santa Ana HOC Quality Assurance Division (QAD) personnel reviewed the HUD HECM computerized records pertaining to the($h)(6)$: name, in November 2007 and that a second, refinance, HECM loan was taken out on the($b)(6$): There advances in the amounts of \$170.066 and \$249,715, respectively were made at the closings of the loans. These advances exhausted the principal limits of funds available for withdrawal on both loans. The assignment of the second HECM loan to HUD was initiated on December 4, 2019, due to the fact that loan balance had reached 95% of the MAC claim amount for the loan which was the standard protocol for the initiation of assignment. The assignment was completed on December 19, 2019. HUD-OIG has requested that QAD personnel obtain the files for the two HECM loans obtained in ($h)(6$): property and that a review be conducted to determine whether the files contained any Power of Attorney forms authorizing ($b)(6)$; ($b)(7)(C$) to act on behalf of ($b)(6)$; and for documentation as to the disbursement of the advance funds at the closings of the HECM loans.	no further action warranted.
5/14/2021	Section 8 tenant alleges that her landlord in Santa Cruz, California, is using the Covid pandemic as a way to collect extra payments from the tenant, outside of the Section 8 contract. The landlord purportedly threatened to evict tenants if they did not pay the extra fees (i.e., dog fees, guest fees). Section 8 tenant states that in addition to herself, the landlord has threatened to evict and collected similar payments from other Section 8 tenants living in the same apartment complex. The complainant stated that she and the other Section 8 tenants have medical issues and are in the high health risk category if exposed to Covid.	Allegations were found to be within the HCVP regulations. No criminal violations found. Case closed.
9/29/2021		
	(b)(7)(E)	
11/25/2020	OIG received a complaint from VAOIG indicating that their office identified an individual, a recipient through the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) Section 8 program, who fraudulently reported being a veteran in order to be eligible to	Successful prosecution. Case closed.
6/12/2021	receive housing assistance through the HUD VASH program. Hotline referral(b)(7)(E) alleges from an anonymous complaint that four employees of the Quinault Housing Authority were under investigation and had been fired for misuse and theft of housing authority funds.	No theft of HUD funds was substantiated during the course of this investigation. During a third-party audit, QHA was found to have made \$25,000 in unsupported expenditures, which were repaid to HUD ONAP. This case is administratively closed.
10/28/2020	A Phoenix-based FBI agent shared a Mortgage Fraud SAR alleging that employees of an investment company called Orange Realty had falsified records in an attempt to obtain bank approval for several short sales in Maricopa County. A review of the associated properties confirmed that approximately one third have mortgages insured by the FHA.	Investigation of initial allegation complete, all judicial and

1/25/2021	Complainant alleges that I (h) (Case declined for prosecution. Subject referred to DEC for debarment. No further investigation warranted. Case declined. Case closed.
1/25/2021	A review was performed on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), by the United Shore Financial Investigation Unit (FIU) as the result of a random broker audit. FIU investigated five loan files submitted to	
	Shore Financial Investigation Unit (FIU) as the result of a random broker audit. FIU investigated five loan files submitted to	Case declined. Case closed.
I	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The investigation uncovered misrepresentation of employment, income, asset, and/or	
	altered documentation on all five of the loan files. The Borrower, 🚛 🖉 is attempting to refinance a primary	
	residence located at (L)(G)·(L)(7)(C) During the underwriting process, (L)(G)· provided his (b)(6)	
Г	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) degree and transcripts. Upon further investigation, this documentation was confirmed to be altered.	
-	Furthermore, generic paystubs and a VOE were provided from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reflecting monthly earnings of \$4,136.08.	
	This documentation also appears to be fabricated, as handwriting analysis confirms all VOEs in this investigation were	
	completed by the same individual. The Borrower, (h)(h): is attempting to purchase a primary residence located	
	at/h)/c)./b)/7)/c) During the underwriting process,/h)/6). provided his (b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	
Г	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) degree and transcripts. Upon further investigation, this documentation was confirmed to be altered.	
-	Furthermore, generic paystubs and a VOE were provided from (b)(6) reflecting monthly earnings of \$5,888.83.	
	This documentation also appears to be fabricated, as handwriting analysis confirms all VOEs in this investigation were	
	completed by the same individual. The Borrower, [[h]/A]. is attempting to refinance a primary residence located at	
l l	(ト)(ら)・(ト)(フ)(C) During the underwriting process,(ト)(ら)・ provided generic paystubs and a	
	VOE from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reflecting income of \$4,500 per month. This documentation appears to be fabricated, as	
	handwriting analysis confirms all VOE's in this investigation were completed by the same individual. Additionally, the	
	Borrower provided BOA bank statements reflecting a balance of \$25,650. Upon further investigation, this account belongs to	
	the //b)//b)//b)//b)//c) The Borrowers,/b)/b)/b)//b)/c) recently purchased a primary	
	residence located at $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ During the underwriting process $(b)(6)$. provided her $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)$	C)
Г	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) degree and transcripts. Upon further investigation, this documentation was confirmed to be altered.	
-	Furthermore, generic paystubs and a VOE were provided from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	

10/29/2020 On 4/1/15, HUD-OIG OI Los Angeles received a referral through HUD Human Resources Specialist (b)(6): wherein the following allegations were made against Hawaii HUD Office of Public Housing employee (b)(6): Employee had a realtor's license and may be performing outside business during work hours Employee's spouse owns a business that was listed as a Housing Choice Voucher landlord for at least three vouchers, thus creating a possible conflict of interest. (b)(6): and has responsibility for the H1003's Housing Choice Voucher Program.

Investigation of initial allegation completed. All judicial actions have been finalized and defendant sentenced. It is deemed that no further investigation is warranted at this time.

 2/26/2021
 This investigation was initiated pursuant to an anonymous HUD employee who alleges that
 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
 Case was may be involved in a scheme to defraud HUD. The employee alleges that the owners obtained a second mortgage in the amount of 2.3 million on April 26, 2010, for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) just days before the original loan was endorsed by HUD on April 30, Legal Co 2010. In addition, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) defaulted on the second mortgage; allowing the lender to claim a percentage of closed. the property which was used for collateral. Furthermore the complaint stated that Plaza Village FHA insured mortgage is approximately 2,000 days delinquent.

5/19/2021 HUD OIG received a referral from a local public housing authority (PHA) alleging that a Section 8 tenant may have failed to disclose income and ownership of a rental property, which he had attempted to lease under another PHA Section 8 program

Case was declined for prosecution. Office of Audit is currently conducting an audit on said case with the advice of Office of Legal Counsel. No further investigation is warranted. Case closed.

	Subject was removed from housing assistance.	(b)(7)(E)
n.	(b)(7)(E)	HUD
	OIG has referred this matter back to the PHA for	or
	administrative review and no further investigat	tion is
	warranted. This case is administratively closed	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
2/12/2021	HUD-OIG has been proactively working with Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA)(b)(6): (b)(7)(C)	Successful admin action. Case closed.
	to ensure the SNRHA Resident Commissioners are accurately reporting their income and family composition. HUD-OIG	
	and (h)(6) identified potential issues with SNRHA Resident Commissioner (h)(6) reporting requirements.	
	The matter is being reviewed.	
3/16/2021	Iving in her is alleged to have failed to report to the Southern Nevada Regional Hosing Authority	Prosecution declined. Case closed.
	household and unreported income from multiple home health care businesses. Case being worked jointly with Medicaid and	
	Welfare.	
3/24/2021	is accused of failing to report (h)(h) in her household and having unreported income from an online womens	Case referred to the Southern Nevada Regional Housing
	clothing store and home health care business. The case is jointly being worked with Nevada Medicaid and Welfare.	Authority for administrative action. No criminal sanctions
		were taken (b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
		(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
6/1/2021	(b)(7)(E) compared 1,457 sex offender profiles against 42,902 HUD-subsidized Section 8 residences and	No further action warranted. Case closed.
	identified 12 individuals subject to lifetime registration as a sex offender registering to the subsidized residences. 5	
	additional individuals subject to lifetime registration as a sex offender were also identified as possibly registering to the	
0 10 10 00 0	subsidized residences, pending unit/apartment number.	
8/9/2021	An United States Postal employee is alleged to be committing both housing fraud and welfare fraud by not reporting all	Subject charged, convicted, sentenced, and referred for
	income to HACLA and DPSS.	administrative action to PHA. Administrative actions have
		been delayed due to COVID-19 protocols; as such, this case is
		closed and any actions taken by PHA will be entered into the
12/17/2020	HUD Phoenix Office of Public and Indian Housing forwarded OIG Hotline Referral $(b)(7)(E)$ to the HUD-OIG Phoenix Sub-	case file when taken.
12/17/2020	office which reported that Section 8 tenant $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ allowed three felons to live, unreported, in her Section 8	Administratively closed.
	residence with $[(b)(6)$ while she stays at $[(b)(6)(-)$ residence. The complainant further reported that the	
	felons had guns and drugs in the residence. The complainant alleged that $(b)(6) \cdot (b)(7)(C)$ who was now (b) had	
	moved out of the Section 8 residence when she was(b) HUD-OIG has confirmed that $(b)(6)$; $(b)(7)(C)$ is a current	
	Section 8 tenant with the City of Phoenix Housing Department living at $(b)(6)$. $(b)(7)(C)$	
12/3/2020	In July 2019, the City of Napa Housing Authority alleged that a Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) landlord violated	Closed administratively.
	program rules by renting out his property to (h)(6).	
5/25/2021	HUD-OIG received a Hotline complaint alleging the City of Vacaville and Solano County conducted a CDBG property swap,	Investigation determined that the allegations were not
	which did not benefit the community and resulted in the misappropriation of HUD funds.	outside program guidelines. Case closed.
10/28/2020	This investigation is being opened pursuant to information received from SSA-OIG which alleged that HCV recipient, $(b)(6)$	Investigation of initial allegation complete along with judicial
	(h)(h) is receiving SSA benefits under the names/identity of $(h)(h)(h)(7)(C)$ and $(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)(h)($	and administrative actions. It is deemed that no further
		investigation is warranted at this time.
9/22/2021	Washington State Auditor's Office conducted an annual audit of Pierce County Housing Authority and discovered a	Target successfully prosecuted, sentenced, and referred for
	discrepancy in the finance department going back multiple years. Further query revealed approximately \$4 million dollars	debarment.
	appeared to have been diverted for unauthorized purposes. A interview with the $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ was	
	scheduled which she refused to attend after which she was terminated. State Auditors continued with their process and	
	were later informed that (h)/A) completed a deposition with her attorney on October 10, 2019 that stated she had been	
	directed to conduct the theft by the $(h)(h)(h)(7)(h)$ and that he would receive a fifty percent cut of the	
	stolen money in cash.	
3/29/2021	In October 2019, HUD OIG received information from the Santa Clara County Housing Authority (SCCHA) regarding a former	Case declined by Federal and State Prosecutors. Case closed.
	Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) tenant. It was alleged the tenant violated program rules by not disclosing their	
	complete income, and correct household composition. As a result, the housing authority has calculated that the tenant	
	received \$104,712 worth of unentitled subsidies.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/3/2020	HUD-OIG received a referral from HUD-OIG, HQ Operations Division, to apprehend Fugitive Felons receiving Public & Indian	Administratively closed.
	Housing (Section 8) benefits.	
8/12/2021	On April 5, 2011, (h)(6)· (h)(7)(C) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of	Investigation complete: all judicial actions have been
	Inspector General (OIG), was contacted by (h)(6): (h)(7)(C)	completed. Subjects referred for DEC debarment, debarments
	reported that a company, JT LLC, secured a HUD backed Section 108 loan guarantee through the city for \$4 million dollars.	to be updated in case file should it occur. Case closed.
	The loan is now in default and one of the individuals who reportedly signed the loan agreement (on behalf of JT LLC) (b)(
	(h)() is denying ever signing the agreement; claiming the signature is a forgery.	
7/22/2021	In October 2012, HUD OIG in Kansas City, KS referred this complaint to HUD OIG in Los Angeles. The complainant alleges that	Prosecution and sentencing complete with appeals denied.
	the company indicated that they were part of or associated with HUD and then tried to collect money for loan modification	Case closed.
	services. The complainant in Kansas City never actually paid any money so therefore, no victims were known and a crime	
	had not occurred. (h)(h) conducted queries in the Federal Trade Commission's Sentinel database and discovered	
	multiple potential victims. On November 26, 2012, $(h)(6)$ telephonically spoke to $(h)(6)$ $(h)(7)(C)$ informed $(h)(6)$	
	(h)(A) that he had paid the company over \$4,000 and no loan modification services were rendered. According to (h)(A).	
	documents from the company had the HUD logo predominately displayed, implying that they were part of or at least	
	endorsed by HUD.	
9/3/2021	Evergreen Home Loan advised that (h)(h) along with other produced fictitious financial documents in order fund	Investigation was not able to substantiate the initial
	numerous FHA loans.	allegation. This case is administratively closed.
4/16/2021	(h)(6): (h)(7)(C) alleges that the National REO Broker's Association (NRBA)(b)(6):	No further action. Case closed.
	(h)() and his associate (h)(h) offered NRBA members business classes on how to become HUD REO listing agents at	
	the NRBA office in Henderson, NV for a fee. At the end of the HUD contract class, (h)(6) offered a business	
	opportunity to the class participants. (h)(h) would fill out the HUD contract applications on behalf of the class	
	participants and submit them to HUD in exchange for a 25-30% referral fee paid to them (h)(h).	
	home sold by the NRBA member (after they got the HUD contract in their area). The payments were to be made outside the	
	normal escrow process and were not reflected on the HUD-1. The NRBA members had to sign a contract with (h)() and	
	(h)(h) business HUD Compliance Partners (HCP) to pay the fee indefinitely. (b)(6); alleges HUD REO listing agents	
	throughout the country have been paying the referral fees to (h)(A). on HUD REO homes sales since as far back as	
	2010.	
12/1/2020	HUD OIG received information from a proactive case development alleging that a realtor may have used FHA strawbuyers to	No further investigation warranted. Case closed.
	purchase homes that he then converted and controlled as his rental properties.	4.1/21. 4.1/11/ITh
8/30/2021	Region 9 received an email from EPA-CID requesting our assistance with a lead abatement investigation. It is alleged that	(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Lowe's subcontractor, is conducting lead abatement projects without properly removing lead.	(b)(5); (b)(7)(E) this case is closed.
		(b)(5); (b)(7)(E)
3/3/2021	Center Point, Inc (CPI), is alleged to have improperly used HUD Community Planning and Development Funds, by submitting	Case transferred to FBI. No further action warranted by HUD-
5/5/2021	invoices that were inflatted to make it appear CPI was providing more services than they actually were. Additionally, CPI is	OIG. Case closed.
	alleged to have billed HUD for services that were paid for by other Federal, State and Local agencies, to include, DOJ, HHS, VA	olo. case closed.
	and the County of Marin.	
3/3/2021	·	No further investigation warranted. Case closed.
5/5/2021	false FHA loan applications. (L)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A) purportedly targeted and recruited Hispanic home buyers who may not	the further investigation warranted. Case closed.
	have the qualifications for FHA loans.	

Date Closed	Investigative Description	Disposition
12/2/2020	HUD-OIG Headquarters forwarded an e-mail from the HUD HQ PIH/REAC/QC Division which contained allegations from a complainant concerning the State of Hawaii Public Housing Authority's (PHA) maintenance of its Asset Management (AM) properties. The complainant alleged that PHA personnel had obtained passing REAC scored for the PHA's AM properties by either manipulating Quality Assurance (QA) inspectors into recording deficiencies at the AM properties incorrectly or by rushing the inspections so that the QA Inspectors did not observe all the deficiencies at the AM properties. Complainant stated that all the PHA's AM properties would have received failing REAC scores if properly inspected. The complainant further alleged that the PHA's Multi-Skills Worker (MSW) team had been used to mitigate (work on) REAC maintenance for the AM properties. The complaint alleged that either the MSW team manager was not putting the REAC maintenance and had awarded the bids to his own (MSW) team.	Administrative remedies. Case closed.
1/19/2021	Complainant alleges that an unauthorized sex offender resides at a subsidized unit. The complainant also alleges unreported income and crimes committed out of the apartment.	No further action deemed necessary. Case closed.
10/29/2020	On April 21, 2018, HUD OIG (Office of the Inspector General) received information from the Vallejo Housing Authority (VHA) regarding former Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) tenant $(b)(6)$: and her former landlord $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ violated program rules by failing to disclose their true relationship to the VHA, and that $(b)(6)$: $(b)(7)(C)$ It was further alleged that $(b)(6)$: had also rented a different property to $(b)(6)$: on the Section 8 program. The VHA advised that the approximate overpayment was \$241,000.	Investigation of initial allegation completed. Investigative findings forwarded for possible administrative action. It is deemed that no further investigation is warranted at this time.
4/30/2021		
	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)	
6/10/2021	The fraud scheme involves mortgage lenders intentionally withholding loan payments for Mortgages that are already in the Ginnie Mae securitized pool. After some period of time, the lender buys back the mortgage from Ginnie Mae at a reduced rate, applies the mortgage payments and then resells the mortgage back to Ginnie Mae.	Due to lack of findings and other investigative priorities, this case is administratively closed.
10/28/2020	HUD-OIG received information from CBP via email alleging that Section 8 recipient, (h)(h)(h)(h)(c)) is involved in alien smuggling proceeds to purchase property.	Investigation of initial allegation completed, all judicial actions have been completed. It is deemed that no further investigation is warranted at this time.
3/17/2021	Subject company is alleged to be owned by a Section 8 tenant and is alleged to be employing Section 8 tenants paid without lawful deductions. The accused have not reported the income to the housing authority.	Prosecution declined. Case closed.
3/8/2021	Subject entity is owned and operated by a Section 8 tenant receiving benefits through the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA), and tenant has failed to properly disclose business interests to SNRHA.	Successfully judicial and administrative action. No further action warranted. Case closed.
5/25/2021	HUD Office of Legal Counsel received a complaint from $(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)$ regarding alleged improprieties surrounding the Santa Ana Home Ownership Center, Santa Ana, CA. Allegedly, due to HUD's contractor $(b)(6)$ work deficiency, HUD management $(b)(6)$ at the HOC have assigned HUD staff to complete contractor work and activities. It is also alleged contractor $(b)(C)$ is being compensated for work performed by HUD staff.	Case was referred to HUD program staff. (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) (b)(5); (b)(7)(E) Case closed.
2/12/2021	The complainant advises that she was required to make an advance payment to obtain a unit within the Springdale West Apartments complex.	Closed Administratively.
8/30/2021	HUD-OIG received a referral from HUD-OIG, Special Investigations Division, alleging that a HUD employee is involved in illegal money laundering and fraudulent Federal Housing Administration insured loans.	interest in prosecuting the subject and her associates due to (b)(7)(E)
		This case is administratively closed.

HUD OIG received an anonymous hotline complaint alleging that a HUD employee (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been a chronic drug user while employed
with the HUD – DC office. The complainant provided various court cases purporting to show that 👀 had several encounters with law enforcement that
allegedly resulted in drug charges. Further, the complainant reported that he (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the subject and he (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
(b)(0); (b)(7)(C) It was also alleged that (b)(0): frequently use marijuana, meth, and cocaine. HUD OIG received a subsequent hotline complaint
regarding the aforementioned allegations from the complainant, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also reported that he has evidence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the subject using illegal narcotics and soliciting drugs.
HUD OIG received a referral from another law enforcement agency that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) participant failed to
report her true household composition and income. Specifically, it was alleged that by failed to report that an individual named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has
been living with her for over a year at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Columbia, MD. Further, it is alleged that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Columbia
her subsidized housing, and she failed to report the income
Received a referral from NJDCJ asking for assistance in a RREM recipient who is suspected of receiving RREM funds for a DPA that may not have been his
primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy, a program requirement.s.
Assigned SA will proactively review and determine HCVP Landlords' compliance with HAP contracts
The Philadelphia Home Ownership Center (HOC) Real Estate Owned (REO) Division has discovered 19 REO properties, which currently have unauthorized
occupants living in these HUD owned properties. The issue of "adverse occupancy" in the Philadelphia and surrounding area involving properties in the
HUD REO inventory has been on the rise. This complaint is being opened to look into the matter of "adverse occupancy" of REO properties in the
Philadelphia and surrounding area REO. Of the 19 REO properties identified by the Philadelphia REO Division as having unauthorized/illegal tenants
residing in them. Three of these REO properties have been leased to tenants by a landlord named or using the name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The fraudulent
leases for these three properties list three different addresses for the landlord, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The only identifier used by this landlord in all three
fraudulent leases is the phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) In addition to the 19 properties with known unauthorized tenants residing in them the REO
Division has identified 9 REO properties currently in the REO inventory, which are currently advertised on Craigslist for fraudulent lease or sale. These 9
REO properties are listed on Craigslist using the contact phone number (0)(6)(0)(0) This phone number has been associated to an individual by the
name of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and a company named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The address associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Philadelphia, PA 19140. Currently, there are no unauthorized or illegal tenants residing in these 9 identified REO properties listed on Craigslist per a
physical inspections conducted by Philadelphia REO Division staff on 5/4/2017. Request this complaint be opened to determine if any illegal leasing or
selling of REO properties can be associated with any individuals for potential criminal prosecution
Information gleaned from OIG review of HOC referrals indicates that FHA insured borrower (b)(6)(7)(C) Reading, PA, might
not have moved into the property he purchased. 600; 60700 appears to be the loan officer for the 6000; FHA loan. OIG will review this loan, as well as
others, to determine if borrowers are failing to move into their properties and using FHA loans for the mere purpose to buy rental properties in Reading,
PA or in other areas. In addition, OIG will review some of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FHA loan originations to determine if gift funds were legitimate or not
The OIG received a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ) alleging that (0)(6)(3)(C) applied for and received a RREM Grant
for a Damaged Property Address (DPA) in Toms River, NJ that may not have been her primary residence prior to or during Hurricane Sandy, a program
requirement.

Meridian Bank QC review found fraudulent paystubs and CPA letters used to originate an FHA insured mortgage..

Scranton Housing Authority Housing Choice Voucher () reports that () (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Housing Choice Voucher recipient has unreported income in the amount of approximately \$30,000 in one year. Per EIV records () (b)(6); appears to be an U.S. Postal Service employee, Mail Handler since possibly September of 2016. Per Scranton Housing Authority () (b)(6); reports zero income..

Complainant alleges that (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) Community Action Development Corporation of Allentown (CADCA) is "steering" grants to CADCA employees and Allentown City Officials. Complainant states (b)(6): (b)(7)(C) sits on the Allentown Housing Authority Board. These activities are a conflict of interest. Complainant states CADCA is a non-profit and hides its conflict of interest activities involving grants from the City of Allentown and the IRS by incorrectly answering questions 25 through 27 of part 4 on the non-profits publicly available Form 990..

An attorney reported to the OIG Hotline that the owner and management agent of a FHA-insured Multifamily project in Buckhannon, WV have been engaging in gross mismanagement for the purpose of personal enrichment by utilizing an Identity of Interest company and paying inflated property management fees, admin costs, and other fees in violation of the law and HUD regulations since 2011. The project also allegedly provides project based Section 8..

(b)(0); (b)(7)(C) applied for and received \$150,000 in RREM and \$10,000 in RSP grant funds they were not entitled to receive.

 (0)(6):(0)(7)(C)
 misrepresented his primary residence and was awarded \$150,000 in RREM benefits and \$10,000 in RRSP.***Redacted Narrative***The

 OIG initiated this investigation after receiving a referral from the New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice (NJDCJ). The CDBG-DR recipients misrepresented

 their primary residence to the State of New Jersey Division of Community Affairs (NJDCA) and were awarded funds they were not entitled to receive for a

 Citizens made a complaint that
 (0)(6):(0)(7)(C)
 United Cerebral Palsy of Northern Central and Southern New Jersey,

 Inc. allegedly has misrepresented material information on his application with the New Jersey Redevelopment Authority which enabled him to secure a

 \$500,000.00 loan. The loan was to build a projected 9 single family homes for handicap families. NJRA has already disbursed \$193,908 to
 (0)(6):(0)(7)(C) etal

 as of 03/2014. The initial proposed use has now been changed or is being changed to different structures housing transitional mental health patients and

 (0)(6):(0)(7)(C) and company are allegedly seeking additional sponsorship and funding. The complainants allege that there are material misrepresentations

 on (0)(6):(0)(7)(C) application certifications and they have complained to NJ Department of Community Affairs. The complainants would like a review of the

 application process as well as any misuse of money arising to a crime in their attempt to halt this type of project in their community..